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We are delighted to bring you this expanded issue of the ZERO TO THREE Journal, which features a global focus on infants, toddlers, and families. This marks the first time that an entire issue of the Journal is devoted to an international perspective on meeting the needs of the youngest and most vulnerable children around the world.

The catalyst for this issue is the growing recognition, both inside the scientific community and among institutions with an international reach, that investments in the earliest years yield significant returns, making early childhood development central to the peace and prosperity of society. This recognition has amplified the need to bring high-quality, effective interventions to scale in communities around the world. As more global partnerships have emerged, the opportunity to share knowledge, resources, and innovative strategies reveals how much we all benefit when we learn from one another.

The enthusiasm and momentum behind the recent efforts to strengthen the programs and services for early childhood education inspired us to bring together the voices presented in this issue to share the most recent innovations and milestones that have brought a focus on child development to the foreground. The feature articles in this issue provide global perspectives on mental health, inclusive services for children with disabilities, maternal and child nutrition, parenting support, father involvement, and home visitation. In addition to the feature articles, we include a supplemental section that provides a snapshot of the variety of ways that members of the ZERO TO THREE Board of Directors, Academy Fellows, and staff are reaching outside of the United States to make connections that strengthen families and improve the lives of infants and toddlers.

We are also excited to acknowledge the more than 200 international members of ZERO TO THREE, who represent 32 countries. We would love to hear from you and invite you to share your experiences and interests! We hope this is just the beginning of an ongoing dialogue among those who care about and support young children and their families around the world.
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The Hope and Challenges for the Youngest Children Around the World

Joan Lombardi
Washington, DC

Last year UNICEF reported that about 140 million children were born around the world in 2016 (UNICEF, 2017). To put this in perspective, only about 4 million of these babies were born in the United States. All of these newborns hold the hope for the world’s future. Yet the challenges to their overall health and well-being are too often overwhelming, compromising their developmental potential. In this issue of the ZERO TO THREE Journal, the authors shine a spotlight on both the hope and challenges for the youngest children growing up around the world.

Let’s start with the hope. From neuroscience to economics, there is a surge of interest in the earliest years of life. Over the past few decades, child mortality for children under 5 years old has been reduced by more than half. Interest in early childhood development appears to be at an all-time high, with new champions emerging from world leaders to sports celebrities, from prime ministers to local policymakers. Indeed, the world came together just a few years ago to establish the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (see box, p. 6), which not only included specific targets related to young children, but set forth an overall goal of ending all forms of poverty, fighting inequality, and tackling climate change—all essential ingredients to supporting parents.

New research from around the world is emerging every week—from efficacy trials to a new emphasis on implementation studies as countries begin to scale up interventions. Moreover, new global, regional, and national networks dedicated to early childhood development are coming together, and there are increasing efforts to harmonize services across sectors and to take a life-course approach.

Despite all of this hope and momentum, the challenges facing families with young children around the world remain daunting. While more children are surviving, almost 6 million children each year die before their fifth birthday, many of them in the first year of life, and many from preventable causes. Even when children survive, they often face risks including malnutrition, poverty, violence, and lack of clean water and a host of other issues. About 250 million children (43% of children under 5 years old) in low- and middle-income countries are at risk of not reaching their developmental potential because of poverty and stunting. (The Lancet, 2016).

When these conditions are accompanied by family violence, drug or alcohol abuse, poor maternal mental health, and/or lack of adequate child care, the impact of toxic stress increases. Along with these developmental risks, several world trends threaten progress and compound the negative effects on early child development. These include the increasing number of violent conflicts around the world, forced migration, pollution, and the impacts of climate change.

It is this combination of adversities that society must turn around for the future of children, families, and a peaceful world. Although the facts are alarming, there is increasing evidence that supportive child and family policies, simple interventions, and increased investments can help save lives and protect development. But the road ahead is long.

Turning to the seven articles in this journal, together they underscore both these challenges and the potential to make change, particularly if support and intervention starts early and takes a holistic approach. Because the domains of development in young children are integrated, the importance of comprehensive services becomes essential.

The promise of this cross-sector approach was underscored in the recent Lancet series: Advancing Early Childhood Development: From Science to Scale (2016) which introduced the concept of nurturing care. As discussed by Professor Linda Richter (this issue, p. 10), nurturing care includes health, nutrition, safety and security, early learning, and responsive parenting. These domains of nurturing care are indivisible and call for increased coordination across sectors. Dr. Richter reviews the rationale behind this concept, the current threats to development, and the promise of the upcoming guidance...
on nurturing care that will be launched at the World Health Assembly in spring 2018.

Families are the primary instrument through which nurturing care is provided. Thus the conditions faced by parents in their efforts to raise their young children are critical. The well-being of the adults in children’s lives is directly related to the well-being of the children. This is particularly true when considering maternal mental health. To be successful, parents need an enabling environment of support. The next article underscores the importance of these concepts. In an interview, Guest Editor Jane West (this issue, p. 17) introduces Dr. Karlee Sliver, Vice President of Programs for Grand Challenges Canada, and their landmark efforts to shine a spotlight on early development.

Of particular note is their groundbreaking work in the area of mental health, with innovation and research taking place around the world.

Nurturing care and supporting families are necessary for all young children everywhere. Dr. Donald Wertlieb (this issue, p. 22), a longstanding champion of early childhood and disability rights for children around the world, reminds readers:

> Early childhood inclusion embodies the values, policies, and practices that support the right of every infant and young child and his or her family, regardless of ability, to participate in a broad range of activities and contexts as full members of families, communities, and society. (Division for Early Childhood/National Association for the Education of Young Children, 2009, p. 2)

He goes on to document the progress and challenges of early intervention and the way forward to ensure the rights of infants and young children with disabilities and their families.

The next two articles illustrate the importance of early nutrition and responsive parenting, key elements of nurturing care. Lucy Martinez Sullivan, the Executive Director of 1,000 Days, and her colleagues Mannik Sakayan and Kimberly Cernak (this issue, p. 31), underscore the importance of the first 1,000 days of life, the global threats of malnutrition to mothers and very young children, and the mobilization efforts taking place around the world to address the issues, including the opportunities in the US. Turning to responsive parenting, Dr. Susan Walker and her colleagues at the Caribbean Institute for Health Research, Susan M. Chang, Joanne A. Smith, Helen Baker-Henningsham, and the Reach Up Team (this issue, p. 37), discuss the seminal work of the Jamaica Home Visiting intervention, and the

---

**The Sustainable Development Goals**

On September 25, 2015, The United Nations brought together world leaders who committed to 17 goals to achieve three things in the next 15 years: ending extreme poverty, fighting inequality and injustice, and fixing climate change. These 17 goals are:

- **Goal 1.** End poverty in all its forms everywhere
- **Goal 2.** End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture
- **Goal 3.** Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages
- **Goal 4.** Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all
- **Goal 5.** Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls
- **Goal 6.** Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all
- **Goal 7.** Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all
- **Goal 8.** Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all
- **Goal 9.** Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation
- **Goal 10.** Reduce inequality within and among countries
- **Goal 11.** Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable
- **Goal 12.** Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
- **Goal 13.** Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts*
- **Goal 14.** Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development
- **Goal 15.** Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
- **Goal 16.** Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels
- **Goal 17.** Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development

*Acknowledging that the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change is the primary international, intergovernmental forum for negotiating the global response to climate change.

training program, called Reach Up, which grew out of the earlier research and is now being implemented around the world, from Guatemala to Zimbabwe.

Finally, this series of articles concludes by turning to two current topics and applied examples. The article by Gary Barker, the Executive Director of Promundo, and his colleagues Ruti Levtov and Brian Heilman (this issue, p. 44), discusses the changing mindset on fathers, drawing lessons from the MenCare Campaign taking place around the world. And an article by Florencia Lopez Boo, Mayarís Cubides Mateus, Rita Sorio from the Inter-American Development Bank, and their colleagues from the Ministry of Social Development in Uruguay, Giorgina Garibotto and Christian Berón (this issue, p. 51) provides a more in-depth picture of one county’s efforts to develop early childhood strategies and appropriate measures.

This collection of articles will inspire and motivate all who read them to take action on behalf of the youngest and most vulnerable around the world. You can make a difference by:

- becoming familiar with the conditions facing children around the world and being better informed of key issues and strategies for change;
- following the early childhood development research emerging in and outside of the US, and staying open to sharing, collaborating, and learning across borders;
- speaking out on behalf of policies in the US and around the world that support increased investments in early nutrition, health, mental health, education, and child protection;
- supporting the work of refugee agencies in your communities and promoting cultural awareness and positive policies for immigrant families; and
- joining with civil society groups to work on behalf of children and families everywhere.

Finally, the work taking place around the world on behalf of young children and families inspires all to continue to promote equality and child rights, to step up efforts to reduce violence, pollution, and the detrimental effects of climate change, and to ensure the rights of all children and families to a peaceful and just world.

Joan Lombardi, PhD, has made significant contributions in the areas of child and family policy as an innovative leader and policy advisor to national and international organizations and foundations and as a public servant. She currently serves as senior advisor to the Bernard van Leer Foundation on global child development strategies and to a range of foundations on domestic early childhood issues including The Buffett Early Childhood Fund. Joan served in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services as the first deputy assistant secretary for early childhood development (2009–2011) and as the deputy assistant secretary for policy and external affairs in Administration for Children and Families and the first commissioner of the Child Care Bureau among other positions (1993–1998). She is the author of numerous publications including Time to Care: Redesigning Child Care to Promote Education, Support Families and Build Communities and co-author of Beacon of Hope: The Promise of Early Head Start for America’s Youngest Children.
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The concept of nurturing care is used in global advocacy to unite the many disciplines and sectors that serve parents, families, and young children. The term was introduced in the recent child development series of the renowned Lancet medical journal, Advancing Early Childhood Development: From Science to Scale (2016). The concept was long-debated by the more than 55 experts who worked on the journal issue from organizations around the world. The aim was to draw attention to the indivisible needs of young children. Advocates of early childhood development frequently focus only on responsive caregiving and learning, health advocates only on well-being, and disability specialists only on developmental delays, nutritionists only on breastfeeding and complementary foods, and those working in child and social protection only on safety and security. Nurturing care includes them all, and all of these elements are critical to the integrity of young children’s development. Together they lay the foundation for a life in which their individual potential can be realised.

Making the Case for Investing in Early Childhood Development

The Lancet Early Childhood Development series (2016) provided scientific evidence for the link between early care and development and progress toward global goals for optimal early childhood development. After interviewing a wide range of stakeholders that included experts, policymakers, and funders, Shawar & Shiffman (2017) concluded that a unitary frame of the problem and its solution (see Figure 1) was needed to advance global priority for early childhood development.

Abstract

The global community is recognizing how “nurturing care” is critical for the developing child. The term encompasses health and nutrition, safety and security, responsive caregiving, and opportunities for inclusive early learning, all of which are afforded by loving parents and families and supportive communities. Public policies and services provide an enabling environment for the care of young children. However, nurturing care is under threat from extreme poverty, political and economic instability, conflicts, and emergencies which expose families to stresses that undermine nurturing care. Additional threats include the intrusion of digitization, social media, and artificial intelligence into relationships between parents and young children, all of which can interfere in responsive, nurturing relationships.

Supporting Parents to Provide Nurturing Care for Young Children

The Fundamental Ingredients for a Better World

Linda M. Richter
University of the Witwatersrand

Figure 1. Domains of Care Necessary for Children to Reach Their Developmental Potential

Source: Adapted from the The Lancet (2016) Executive Summary Advancing Early Childhood Development: From Science to Scale
The Lancet series advanced ten strong arguments, built around the concept of nurturing care for young children, to lay the foundation for their development across the life course (see box Ten Arguments for Nurturing Care as a Global Priority).

The 2016 Lancet series built on two previous child development series published in 2007 (Engle et al., 2007; Grantham-MacGregor et al., 2007) and 2011 (Engle et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2011), both excellent reviews of available evidence and recommendations for expanded programs and services for young children and their families. When the 2016 series was published, momentum had been building to scale up services for early childhood development, and the series gave life to a unique convergence between science and advocacy. The series was launched in concert with a Human Development Summit titled Investing in the Early Years for Growth and Prosperity at the World Bank’s Annual Meeting of Finance Ministers.

At the World Bank event, significant in itself, seven finance ministers—from Cameroon, Cote d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Indonesia, Madagascar, Pakistan, and Senegal—pledged to increase support for early child development. The week before, Uganda launched its National Integrated Early Childhood Development Policy, joining 68 other LMICs (45% of all LMICs) which had adopted similar policies (Black et al., 2017).

Looking to the Future

In May 2018 another milestone will occur. Early childhood development will be in the spotlight at the World Health Assembly in Geneva, in the report on progress of the Global Strategy for Women’s and Children’s Health, which stresses Survive, Thrive and Transform. Attended by all ministers of health, a document will be be presented—Nurturing Care for Early Childhood Development: A Global Framework for Action and Results—together with country profiles for early childhood development and a set of WHO guidelines on incorporating nurturing care.

Scientific evidence highlights the importance of the first 2–3 years of life for later success in school and in life. Through nurturing care and quality early childhood development services, positive development of children can be supported, setting in place pro-social behaviours and skills for learning and earning. However, deprivation of such positive influences can derail early development, thereby leading to vicious cycles of disadvantage, inequity, violence and aggressiveness (Thomson, 2017).

Care of young is characteristic of all mammalian species and, in humans, involves specific capacities for interaction. Babies are motivated to respond to human action and communication (Papoušek & Papoušek, 2002). These capacities include, for example, the unique features of child-directed speech and turn-taking in vocalizations and actions. Key to these interational capacities is the adult’s ability to recognise and respond to the infant’s communications and to the infant’s emotional and behavioral state in ways that promote the security, sustenance, affection, and learning needs of the developing child. Stresses associated with poverty, war and conflict, mental illness, drug use, and other factors disrupt these intuitive parenting abilities, and parents struggling with these issues need support to provide their young children with nurturing care.

Opportunities and Challenges

As indicated, momentum is growing for efforts to ensure the protection of early childhood development, and new hope for young children is being engendered. At the same time, however, enormous threats to nurturing care pervade the world. Two such threats are vivid in my mind. The first is the unconscionable cruelty perpetrated against women and children in the many current wars and conflicts. The second is the intrusion of digitization, social media, and artificial intelligence into relationships between parents and young children.

Conflict and Violence

Cruelty and suffering experienced during wars and conflicts particularly affect women and young children. As they flee persecution and death, for example as is happening to
Ten Arguments for Nurturing Care as a Global Priority

1. Scientific evidence highlights the importance of the first 2–3 years of life for later success in school and in life. Birth cohort studies (see Jargon Buster, p. 83) in high, as well as low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), show that growth in the first 2 years of life predict years of schooling and adult earnings (Martorell et al., 2010). Similarly, early intervention trials have found that psychosocial stimulation in the first 2 years of life increases income in adulthood (Gertler et al., 2014). Conception to 2 years old (the first 1,000 days of life) is a critical time period during which environmental factors have a substantial effect on developing capacities, for good and ill. Despite this recognition, there is a strong bias that formal learning begins at about 3 or 4 years old, when children enter preschool (Lindland, Richter, Tomlinson, Mkwanazi, & Watt, 2016).

2. The burden of risk for poor childhood development is huge. An estimated 43% of children younger than 5 years old, some 250 million in 141 LMICs, live in extreme poverty (defined by the World Bank as less than $1.25 per person per day) or are stunted in their growth (defined as two standard deviations below the World Health Organization norms for age and gender). These two factors limit learning and earning, as well as health and well-being, across the life course. Globally comparable data is limited, but where available, low maternal schooling (incomplete primary education) and maltreatment (hitting a young child with a belt, stick, or shoe) substantially increases the number of children at risk to 75% (Lu, Black, & Richter, 2016).

3. The personal and social costs of these risks to human development are enormous. The 250 million children affected by extreme poverty and stunting are estimated to earn annual incomes one third less than their better-off peers. This is aggregated at a societal level. Some countries currently spend less of their Gross Domestic Product (GDP) on health than will be lost to their country in the future as a result of poor early child growth and development. For example, the Cost of Hunger studies in Africa estimate that Ethiopia forgoes about 16% of its GDP as a result of lost life, learning, and earning associated with young children having stunted growth associated with undernutrition (African Union Commission et al., 2014).

4. Nurturing care is necessary for the experience-expectant and experience-dependent brain to develop. Neuroscientists refer to the massive proliferation of synaptic connections in the fetal brain during second trimester of pregnancy as “the big bang” (Lagerkrantz, 2016). During this time, sensory systems start to become active and the fetus is responsive to and dependent on environmental stimuli that shape processes and organization of the infant brain. This responsiveness and dependency continues after birth until about 2 years old, at which time synaptic connections begin to be pruned to retain and strengthen those connections that have been stimulated to become functional. Strong synaptic connections depend on the stimulation provided by secure, affectionate, and interested caregivers.

5. In the same way that the infant is dependent on nurturing care, parents and family are themselves dependent on conditions that enable and support them to provide nurturing care for their young child. These enabling conditions include, amongst others, security, social inclusion (see Wettlieb, this issue, p. 22); parenting support, protection during disasters, health care, mental health services, and family-supportive policies and services for families and young children. Family-supportive policies include, for example, health insurance, social cash transfers, a minimum wage, and maternity and paternity leave. Such policies ensure that parents have a minimum level of financial security to provide for their children and the time needed for exclusive breastfeeding and early care (Heymann, Raub, & Earle., 2011). Services that help families care for their young child include free or subsidised health care, child care, social services, and pre-primary education.

6. Systematic reviews conducted for The Lancet series (2016) were the basis of the assertion that researchers know enough about what works for families and young children to begin to scale up services in LMICs where there are high levels of risk of poor outcomes. Media campaigns help encourage and strengthen parent engagement with young children and to reduce harsh punishment. Early care and education programs provide a safe environment for the young children of parents who work in agricultural fields or busy urban markets. In high, as well as LMICs, parent groups conducted in communities or in facilities (Singla, Kumbakumba, & Aboud, 2015), as well as home visits for the most vulnerable families, have been found to improve young children’s growth and development (Yousafzai, Rashid, Rizvi, Armstrong, & Bhutta, 2014).

7. There are enough examples from LMICs to inspire the scale up of services to all children at risk. India’s Integrated Child Development Services, inspired by Head Start and launched in 1975, is one of the oldest early child development programs in the world, now providing support to more than 46 million mothers of children between birth and 3 years old. It is legislated as a universal service for poor children and, although funds are never sufficient, the program is financed by government. Chile Crece Contigo (Chile Grows With You) is widely regarded as an exemplary early childhood programme. Established in 2007, it is now legislated, funded by government, and reaches close to 80% of all poor children. It has recently expanded to include children up to 9 years old. South Africa’s Grade R, a free pre-primary school year, was expanded to near universal coverage (80% of poor children) in a decade, starting in 2005. Legislated, and funded by government, plans are now afoot to expand the system to younger children (Richter et al., 2017).

8. Programs for young children and their families can go to scale only when they are built on available universal services, such as health and education. The early development of very many children in LMICs must be supported. To do this requires universal services onto which targeted programs can be built progressively. Health and nutrition services are an ideal platform from which to promote early childhood development. They are usually the most functional services in poor countries. They also have extensive contact with pregnant women, young children, and families, and many existing services provided for reproductive, maternal newborn and child health benefit young children’s development and promote psychosocial development. These include, amongst others, nutritional supplements for pregnant women and young children, exclusive breastfeeding, and Kangaroo Care (skin-to-skin contact between newborns and caregivers; Vavaid, Gaffet, & Bhutta, 2017). Other potential platforms for universal services in LMICs include social cash transfers, birth registration, agricultural extension and water, sanitation, and hygiene programmes.
Ten Arguments for Nurturing Care as a Global Priority continued

9. **Adding touchpoints to promote nurturing care for children, and support for the mental well-being of mothers, could cost as little as US$0.20–0.70 per person per year**, in LMICs, respectively (World Health Organization [WHO], 2015). Two such examples include the WHO/UNICEF Care for Child Development (2012) and the WHO Thinking Healthy (2015) programs. Costing was modelled on achieving 60% coverage in 73 high burden countries. Care for Child Development involves guided support and encouragement to mothers to talk to and play with their young child. Thinking Healthy, applied in this context, assists women to make decisions about how to resolve problems, an approach that has been found helpful in reducing depression (Rahman, Malik, Sikander, Roberts, & Creed 2008).

10. **Early childhood development is included in the Sustainable Development Goals**. The Sustainable Development Goals, set and defined by the United Nations, includes 17 social and economic goals for global prosperity (United Nations, 2015). Goal 4, to ensure lifelong learning, has a target that “by 2030 ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education”. However, early childhood development is essential to at least 7 other goals: (1) Eradicate poverty; (2) End hunger and improve nutrition; (3) Ensure healthy lives; (5) Achieve gender equality; (10) Reduce inequality in among countries; (16) Promote peaceful societies; and (17) Strengthen the means of implementation. In acknowledgement of the centrality of early childhood development, UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon stated that “The Sustainable Development Goals recognise that early childhood development can help drive the transformation we hope to achieve over the next 15 years” (Ban Ki-moon, 2015).

Rohingya Muslims in Myanmar, to both sides in the civil war in Syria, and in countless places around the globe, women carry infants and toddlers for hours over difficult terrain, facing danger at every turn with little or no food, clothing, or shelter. With few places to rest or sleep, stress, hunger, and pain overwhelm women; their breastmilk dries up, and they have no way to keep their children fed, clean, or warm, or to allay their fears. Under these conditions, mothers of young children become despairing and depressed. Their capacity for nurturing care is blocked. At the time when their young children need their love and protection the most, they are least able to provide it. It is almost impossible to imagine the anguish of parents and grandparents in these situations (Richter, Lye, & Proulx, in press). In December 2016, UNICEF issued a press statement estimating that some 535 million children—nearly 1 in 4 of all the children in the world—live in countries affected by conflict or disaster. Nearly 50 million children globally have been uprooted and driven from their homes; in Syria alone, some 500,000 children live in besieged areas, cut off from medical services, food relief, and other humanitarian aid (UNICEF, 2016). Advocates for young children have to become advocates for peace and for initiatives that prevent and halt conflict, protect families from violence, and support parents so that they, in turn can care for their children.

**Technology**

The second threat, perhaps more insidious, is the intrusion of electronic devices into relationships, including parental interactions with infants. Mobile devices are pervasive in LMICs. In Africa, with a population of 1.3 billion people, there are 1 billion mobile phone SIM cards. Not everyone has a phone, but people put their card into other’s phones. Mobile phones have innumerable advantages for health, well-being, and child rearing, including promoting pregnancy and infancy health and well-being for mother and child, such as the messaging used in the Mobile Alliance for Maternal Action (2012), which is being rolled out in many parts of southern Africa and southeast Asia. However, there is growing concern about how mobile devices may interfere with family relations, displace time spent in interaction with a young child, and reduce responsive parenting (Kildare & Middlemiss, 2017). More advanced, artificial intelligence devices, such as Siri and the Hello Kitty Robot, are standing in for parental interactions with young children in the lives of busy professional parents. There is much for young children to gain from robotic devices, including fun, exploration, learning, and the security associated with predictability. Judith Newman’s (2017) touching account of her autistic son’s engagement with Siri illustrated the benefits of artificial intelligence for children with special needs. The Sharkey’s (2010) first drew attention to the potential hazards of “robot nannies,” and a recent review concluded that “Young children habituated to robotic companions might not acquire the moral responsibilities that real companionship
entails” (Jones, 2017). Advocates for young children must become advocates for the importance and uniqueness of human parenting.

Nurturing care is at the heart of who humans are and what parents want their children to become. It provides the context in which children experience their worth, gain confidence in their efforts to explore their world, feel empathic pain that prompts kindness and assistance to others, and generate hope for the future. It is not just a soft, little, private matter between a dad cuddling his baby or a mother breastfeeding. It is the fundamental ingredient of the better world for which humans continually strive.

Linda M. Richter, PhD, is a distinguished professor and director of the DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development at the University of the Witwatersrand. She has worked at the interface of science, policy, and advocacy in child and family development for more than 40 years and led the 2016 Lancet series Advancing Early Childhood Development: From Science to Scale.

References


Martorell, R., Horta, B. L., Adair, L. S., Stein, A. D., Richter, L., Fall, C. H., ... & Victora, C. G. (2010). Weight gain in the first two years of life is an important predictor of schooling outcomes in pooled analyses from five birth cohorts from low- and middle-income countries. The Journal of Nutrition, 140(2), 348–354.


MI-AIMH DVD Bundle
Tools for BOTH Practitioners & Supervisors

15% OFF for a limited time
Sale Price: MI-AIMH Members $144.50 Non-Members $208.25
With this bundle, MI-AIMH Members save $25.50 and
Non-Members save $36.75
Your choice of ONE Reflective Supervision DVD: Reflective Supervision or Reflective Supervision II
PLUS
The IMH Home Visiting Manual on DVD
*Discounted bundle is available until April 30, 2018 – Shipping & Handling fees will be added

When it comes to creating secure and trusting relationships, infant and family practitioners are pros. But how can supervisors help staff reflect upon the thoughts and feelings they experience while working with infants, young children and families?
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Editor’s Note: In this interview, Jane West, Co-Guest Editor and ZERO TO THREE Academy Fellow, and Dr. Karlee Silver, the Vice President of Programs for Grand Challenges Canada, discuss issues related to global mental health, including noteworthy interventions that are focusing on addressing the cognitive, social, and emotional needs of young children and families around the world. Dr. Silver, these last 8 years, has set the strategy for Grand Challenges Canada’s programmatic investments and guided her staff as they have sourced, supported, and, most recently, begun transitioning—to a grander scale—the early childhood developmental and psychological innovations that promise the greatest impact.

Grand Challenges Canada is supported by the Government of Canada and other governments, foundations, and private investors. Grand Challenges Canada is an innovation platform that seeds and transitions to scale scientific, social, and business innovation to drive sustainable impact at scale. Its mission is to catalyze innovation that saves and improves the lives of the most vulnerable in Canada and low- and middle-income countries. Since its launch in 2010, Grand Challenges Canada has had a focus on supporting mental health through two targeted challenges:

- **Saving Brains** supports early brain and child development globally. The portfolio focuses on three areas of healthy development (health and nutrition, enrichment, and protection) that, when addressed together, set up a child to reach his or her full potential. Through Saving Brains, almost $50 million CAD has been committed to more than 100 early child development innovations (from pilots to transition to scale projects) in 26 countries.

- **Global Mental Health** is focused on improving treatments and expanding access to care for mental disorders, through transformational, affordable, and cost-effective innovations that have the potential to be sustainable at scale. Through Global Mental Health, over $40M CAD has been committed to 85 innovations in 31 countries.

How would you describe the world’s engagement with early childhood development and mental health as an issue historically and now?

The international experts who advised us on strategic areas for focus in 2010 spoke a lot about moving beyond a survival lens. They were still very passionate about addressing mortality, especially for newborns and mothers, where progress was lagging, but there was also a clear aspiration toward goals that were more meaningful to children, their families, and their communities. This is why, from the start, Grand Challenges Canada focused on improving lives, on people reaching their full potential.

Since 2010, I have observed a greater recognition by the global community that surviving is necessary but not sufficient for communities to thrive or kids to succeed in life. I do think that there is a greater understanding of how important the earlier years are. It’s a big step forward to understand how the relationship between babies and parents can have a lasting impact on their life trajectories and the strength of their communities. More and more, the question of, “What does it take to support healthy brain development right from the earliest days?” leads toward action rather than deprioritization.
I think that science has a lot to do with the shift in understanding, and as the science emerges, it seems to have attracted people who have been engaged in other parts of child health and maternal health. The concept of brain development and how it is influenced by the environment keeps coming up in different fields. As does the recognition of how much damage we’re doing by separating out the brain, the mind, and the body. As the WHO says: there is no health without mental health. This is true for young children as they develop, for youth who are finding their way amongst social constructs, and adults who are building their communities and raising the next generation of children. When parents (or primary caregivers) suffer from untreated mental conditions, however, they are unable to provide consistent and quality play and love care to their child.

What terms do you use when talking about the role of mental health in early childhood, as that’s an idea that is not so easily understood?

I am drawn to the simple terms of “play” and “love.” These embody the core concept that a child needs consistent adult care that provides for opportunities to develop and that reinforces the child’s experiences as she explores the world. Play and love shape the wiring of a child’s brain and shape the child’s life.

A beautiful force is the universality of child development. Often the people supporting parents are parents themselves: so the people who are supporting parents to provide play and love also end up relating it to their own life.

A good example of this is in Ethiopia, where a Learning Through Play project was being delivered by mostly male workers. One of the male workers started playing with his own child in the way he was coaching others—a highly curious action given that women are seen as the ones to deal with children. His neighbor saw him doing this and asked what it was about, and the man replied: “I’m actually building my baby’s brain. This is how it works!”

What realization led you to put a large share of your funds into global mental health ideas—including early childhood development—of all the challenges that could have been selected?

In most areas of the world, we are reliant on psychiatrists, psychologists, counselors, and other specially trained people who focus on mental health to identify, diagnose, and manage mental illness. You also have to recognize cultural norms that further limit people living with mental illness to seek care as a result of stigma, for example, in some cultures there are no words for depression, so why would there be action to manage it?

Put together, it’s not surprising that in many parts of the world, about 90% of the people living with mental illness are not getting the care they need. So, our main focus is to be bold, to figure out how to flip this mental health gap so that 90% of people get care. This means tackling the problem of limited specialized care by relying on “task shifting”: using the human resources that already exist in the community to take on tasks that were originally conducted only by specialists. It may include the families of affected people, elders in the community, teachers, business leaders, other health care providers, et cetera, playing an active role in identifying, screening, and managing mental health conditions. We support innovators with bold ideas on how these nonspecialists can be the frontline to identify and support people living with mental illness to test, refine, and transition to scale their innovations.

There is also a growing body of evidence that healthy brain development is a preventative approach to mental illness. The ability to also focus significant resources on the window of opportunity provided by the first few years of brain development is the bridge between maternal and newborn health issues and mental health.

What is the role of innovation to improve mental health?

It is possible for people with mental health conditions to feel better, to get better. There is hope. That’s where an innovation agenda is very important for both the early child development and the mental health fields. Innovation is about tomorrow being a better day than today.
Many of the innovations we support are low-tech social innovations. The novelty is in how people are involved. For example, the Friendship Bench in Zimbabwe is an innovation that aims to address the gap in mental health services by training community elders (grandmothers) to deliver a problem-based therapy. When people living with mental illness present at primary health clinics in three major cities in Zimbabwe, they are referred to the grandmother outside the clinic who is sitting on a bench. The grandmother guides the client through the 6 weekly sessions to identify critical problems and activate problem-solving techniques. This Friendship Bench intervention has shown to reduce depression 3-fold; anxiety, 4-fold; and suicidal ideation, 5-fold (Chibanda, Verhey, Munetsi, Cowan, & Lund, 2016).

One of the most powerful illustrations of the impact of the infant–caregiver unit that I’ve seen is Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC: skin-to-skin contact between infants and their caregiver). It was first tested by a Colombian pediatrician 20 years ago as an alternative to overcrowded newborn intensive care units.

Supporting a fragile baby’s mom and dad to provide KMC has been shown to strengthen the family dynamic so much as to better support the continual development of a child into their 20s. From a study of 20-year-olds who were part of the original study that compared KMC to normal neonatal intensive care unit care, the families who provided KMC were more likely to enroll their kids into preschool, and those who received KMC were more likely to attend more school, and were earning 50% more at 20 years old than those who did not. The KMC-exposed individuals actually had bigger brains (Charpak et al., 2016)

Practices that set up this cascading effect of family investments into a child is as close as a holy grail as we will get for child development. This is a very powerful idea. I agree that it is shortsighted to talk about only intervening early, or only focusing on the first 1,000 days, and expecting healthy development to continue without support as the toddler transitions into childhood and adolescence. There need to be continuous investments in a child for him to reach his full potential. But, I would challenge us to think about whether there is a domino effect of positive outcomes that we can set off in the earliest moments of a child’s life.
The global early childhood development movement is reaching a new phase where the push is to take what is working and offer them on a large scale. What role is Grand Challenges playing in this transition?

Our current focus is on how to transition the most promising innovations to scale and sustainability. To do this, we are paying attention to a few areas. First, how can we use the scaling process to keep enhancing the impact of the innovation? By that I mean, not taking a model and just expecting to replicate it exactly in various different regions, but actually understanding what will indicate that the solution is working as expected, and how it needs to be adapted as it scales. This kind of iterative learning requires feedback on both the process of delivering services as well as on the outcomes experienced by the babies and their families.

Second, we want to invite more people into this space to help us solve this challenge. For example, both early childhood development and mental health need entrepreneurs who know how to scale solutions, and understand how to keep improving the impact as it scales, to engage in this challenge.

Karlee Silver, PhD, is vice president for programs for Grand Challenges Canada. Dr. Silver sets strategy for programmatic investments and enables the programs, investments, and knowledge management staff to source, support, and transition to scale promising innovations for social impact. She is the senior technical advisor for the Every Woman Every Child Innovation Marketplace, represents Grand Challenges Canada in the International Development Innovation Alliance, and is a steering committee member for CitiesRISE. Dr. Silver has been with Grand Challenges Canada since it launched, and led the process of selecting the organization’s prioritized grand challenges. Prior to joining Grand Challenges Canada, Dr. Silver trained in the laboratory of Dr. Kevin Kain at the Sandra Rotman Centre in Toronto, first as a Canadian Institutes of Health Research postdoctoral fellow, then as a MITACS Elevate postdoctoral fellow, where she helped to identify host responses of malaria infection in pregnant women to harness for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Dr. Silver received her doctorate in 2006 from the University of Oxford, where she attended as a Rhodes Scholar and trained in genetics and immunology under the supervision of Professor Richard

Supporting a fragile baby’s mom and dad to provide Kangaroo Mother Care (skin to skin contact) strengthens the family dynamic. (Laquintinie Hospital in Douala, Cameroon)
Cornall and Professor Sir John Bell. An accumulation of inspirations, including traveling through southern Africa after Oxford, led to a refocus toward global health. Witnessing both the strength of women to sustain their families and communities, and the vulnerability of these same women to the consequences of poverty, inspired Dr. Silver to apply herself to health issues of women in developing countries.

Jane West, LPC, ECSE, is a mental health professional and educator specializing globally in early childhood issues. She runs Heart of the West Counseling, LLC, a company that provides therapeutic services to families and consulting services to early childhood programs and foundations. Both a Harris Fellow in Child Development and Infant Mental Health at the University of Colorado’s Child Psychiatry Department and a Fellow of the Leaders of the 21st Century program at ZERO TO THREE, West was responsible for shaping the early years of Early Childhood Partners’ coaching and consultation programs in the mountains of Colorado, and is an active consultant and speaker on topics such as early childhood toxic stress and resilience. She is also an internationally accomplished journalist and an Emmy-award winning producer of documentaries for PBS and the BBC. In 2013, West launched an international donor-advised fund to support the development of early childhood mental health systems and workforce capacity in under-resourced areas of the world. The Two Lilies Fund shines a spotlight through its program development and public awareness campaigns (using film and podcasting) on model projects that are designed to strengthen the social and emotional development of young children and their caregivers.
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Infants and young children with disabilities are a large and growing population with a long tradition of being marginalized and excluded (Scherzer, Chhagan, Kauchali, & Susser, 2012). With the world’s mobilization around the United Nation’s (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (UN, 2015) and their clarion commitment to “leave no one behind,” these children and their families are key to progress in creating the healthy, prosperous, and peaceful world envisioned. Emergent policies, programs, and practices guided by the widely embraced tenets of the United Nations Convention of the Rights of the Child (UNICEF, UK, 1989) and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons With Disabilities (CRPD, UN, 2009) transform a large investment in early childhood development into a stronger and more inclusive system of early childhood development (IECD). Concepts and tools such as the Early Childhood Quality Inclusion Profile (ECQUIP) and “triple-twin-tracking” facilitate holistic, comprehensive, and equitable policies and practices increasingly evident in international and global initiatives for nurturing care for all children.
More than 8 million children are born each year with disabilities, most of which are preventable or amenable to interventions that mitigate negative impacts and enhance the quality of their lives (Darmstadt et al., 2016). The science of early childhood development provides effective strategies and interventions for improving health, equity, achievement, and human capital (Campbell et al., 2014; Heckman, 2007; Huebner et al., 2016; Moore, Arefadib, Deery, Keyes, & West, 2017). In addition, the “cost of inaction” (i.e., losses to a family, community, or nation associated with failure to invest in early childhood development) is increasingly documented and known to be substantial and avoidable (Institute of Medicine, 2014). The stakes are high, the opportunity grand, the challenges severe.

The Rights and Needs of Children With Disabilities

Around the world, professionals, families, governments, and civil society organizations now advocate for enhancement of early childhood services across all sectors—health, education, social protection, and humanitarian action (Basset & Nieto, 2017; Donoghue, 2017; New York Academy of Sciences, 2016). Increasingly, policy choices are guided by an awareness that it is the youngest children in their earliest moments, or “first thousand days” (conception to 2 years old), who are most in need or return the most on investment (Britto, 2017; Moore et al., 2017). However, too often, the particular rights and needs of those infants and toddlers with disabilities are shunted off to a separate space of rehabilitation, separated or segregated special services, or worse, no services at all.

The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNICEF UK, 1989), a global endorsement of children’s right to care, education, and participation, has been a core value and aspiration in most nations (UNICEF, 2013). The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child included an article on children with disabilities and a general comment on early childhood and the particular needs and rights of young children, but the more recent CRPD (UN, 2009) illuminated the fundamental interdependence of early childhood and disability matters in contemporary notions of equity, inclusion, and “leaving no one behind,” as expressed in this excerpt from General Comment 4 of the CRPD addressing inclusive education:

Early childhood interventions can be particularly valuable for children with disabilities, serving to strengthen their capacity to benefit from education and promoting their enrollment and attendance. All such interventions must guarantee respect for the dignity and autonomy of the child. In line with [Sustainable Development Goal 4], and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, States parties are urged to ensure access to quality early childhood development, care and pre-primary education, together with the provision of support and training to parents and caregivers of young children with disabilities. If identified and supported early, young children with disabilities are more likely to transit smoothly into pre-primary and primary inclusive education settings. States parties must ensure coordination between all relevant ministries, authorities and bodies as well as [Disabled Persons’ Organizations] and other [nongovernmental organization] partners. (UNCRPD, 2016, pp. 21–22)

Early intervention action guidelines and tools integrating the principles in these two Conventions are available (e.g., Brown & Guralnick, 2012; Lumpkin, 2015) but still not widely implemented. Even a powerful declaration on the rights of infants (World Association for Infant Mental Health, 2016) has yet to include the CRPD as a guiding or underpinning resource. Advocates for inclusion and the rights of infants and toddlers with disabilities encounter a range of challenges including shifting definitions of disabilities and gaps in identification and counts. More and more countries are adopting strategic action plans for early childhood development and early childhood intervention, but implementation of such plans often requires unprecedented levels of cross-sectoral collaboration and expensive transformations of workforce, training, and service-delivery systems. The tragic injustice and vulnerability of these young children is especially evident in current efforts to return children institutionalized in “orphanages” or residential facilities away from their families. As the world now grapples with global migration and refugee crises, again, children with disabilities get disproportionate neglect and insufficient protection.

An Evolving Definition of Disability

The shift away from a traditional biomedical model of disability—in which a child’s impairment, defect, or deficit was primary—toward a more accurate, enlightened, and actionable definition of disability guides current efforts to harness the power of early childhood development: “Persons with
The preferred and evolving definition of disability as a social and environmental process abandons the simplistic notion of disability as a mental or physical impairment in a child.

Disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual, or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others (UN, 2009, p. 4). This preferred and evolving definition of disability as a social and environmental process abandons the simplistic notion of disability as a mental or physical impairment in a child. It recognizes that social and environmental obstacles and barriers, including attitudes of others, discrimination, or stigma, create and constitute limitations and compromises in the child’s pursuit of his developmental potential and human rights (Inclusive Early Childhood Services System, 2015; Wertlieb & Krishnamurthy, 2015). As policies and programs embrace multi-dimensional frameworks for describing children’s behavior and development as conveyed in the International Classification of Functioning (WHO, 2016) and DC:0–5(sm): Diagnostic Classification of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood (ZERO TO THREE, 2016), more infants and toddlers with disabilities will be supported in their families’, providers’, and communities’ efforts to help them achieve their full potentials (Miller & Rosenbaum, 2016).

Assessing Quality Inclusion

Among the tools available to facilitate needs assessments and program development in IECD is the Early Childhood Quality Inclusion Profile (ECQUIP; Levy, Messner, & Wertlieb, 2014; Wertlieb, 2017) depicted in Figure 1. It draws from various efforts to identify and measure (a) elements of good practice and effective ingredients in diverse education and intervention settings and (b) policies fostering the optimal development of infants and young children. The extent to which such programs or policies are sensitive and responsive to diversity in abilities, styles, and culture typically emerges as a key factor in quality. Differentiation and individualization that respects and leverages distinctive capacities, preferences, and neurodiversity will enhance program impact. ECQUIP asks stakeholders to assess a current policy, program, or practice and envision positive changes along 12 key dimensions listed in Figure 1. A guiding assumption of the ECQUIP process holds that to be a high-quality service or program for infants and young children, inclusion must be a priority.

While many of the dimensions of ECQUIP will be familiar to readers, the notion of “twin-track tracking” conveyed in item 4 may be less so. A brief elaboration here will also allow suggestions for advancing IECD in the context of several global early childhood development agendas.

A “Twin-Track” Approach

The twin-track approach recognizes that progress in securing the rights of people with disabilities requires simultaneous, concerted, and sustained action in two domains—one domain is disability-specific and focused; the second is universal and responsive in inclusive ways (CBM, 2012; Department for International Development, 2015; Lumpkin, 2015). For instance, consider the case of a model Early Head Start program; its policies and practices will reflect sophisticated and enlightened understandings of the needs and capacities of all young children meshed seamlessly and intentionally with accommodations and responsiveness to the capacities and needs of any family member (child or adult) with a disability. The twin-track approach is often summarized as “mainstreaming disability,” that is, ensuring that the particulars of disability matters get considered in the larger or more universal arenas of policy or practice. Thus, if a country is transforming its health care system, the twin-track approach would focus attention on ensuring that the transformed system meets the needs of both people with disabilities and those without. If a community determines that a parent support and education initiative would enrich its schools, then a twin-tracking approach would aim to shape the curriculum to foster learning by all parents, those people with disabilities and those without. If a community determines that a parent support and education initiative would enrich its schools, then a twin-tracking approach would aim to shape the curriculum to foster learning by all parents, those whose child has a disability or might be at risk for disability, as well as parents whose child does not have a disability.

The Meanings of Mainstreaming

A potential for misunderstanding emerges from the particular meaning of mainstreaming familiar to those operating in the “child development” space rather than the “international development” space. Social and educational policy and practice in the 20th century focused on overcoming the segregation and exclusion of children with disabilities by bringing them out of institutions and separate special schools and into community and neighborhood schools, “mainstream” settings, learning alongside “normal” or “able-bodied” peers. These less restrictive environments were cultivated as a way of promoting the rights of all children to free and appropriate public education in the US as well as many other countries. By the end of the 20th century, the limitations and problems of mainstreaming...
Figure 1. Early Childhood Quality Inclusion Profile (ECQUIP)

In a participatory, iterative process, assess and document your program(s) or policies with quantitative and qualitative data. Capture a snap-shot of the current situation. Envision a set of goals and objectives that would describe the program or policy to which you aspire in 2 years, and in 5 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence &amp; Actions</th>
<th>Now</th>
<th>2 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reflects a developmental systems framework?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Employs a human rights-based approach?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Coordinates and integrates across sectors and levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Triple-twin-tracks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Mainstreaming disability: Inclusion and special care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Child- and family-centered as well as Community-centered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Young child birth to 3 years old, 3–8 years old, as well as child and youth (birth to 25 years old)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Enables, supports, and empowers caregivers and parents?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Prevents institutionalization?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Increases local capacity for training and support of diverse interdisciplinary providers?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Culturally responsive?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Employs Universal Designs for Learning?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Evidence-based and evidence informed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Strong evaluation system with continuous improvement links to the program or policy as well as dissemination to the field?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Ethical analysis?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Other?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from © 2012 D. Wertlieb PECDDR Rev 2017

became apparent, and the emphasis shifted to true integration and inclusion frameworks. The narrow focus on the weaknesses or deficits of individual children with disabilities broadened with sophistication about the importance of inclusive education where quality enhanced outcomes for all learners. The contemporary evolving social definition of disability offered previously (see p. 23–24) guided and was guided by integrated and inclusive approaches, moving beyond the initial “mainstreaming” objectives. Too often, what passed for “mainstreaming” was simply dumping of children with disabilities into a segregated “special” classroom in the basement of the school, or placing a child in a “regular” classroom without providing the child, teacher, or other students with the tools, skills, and understanding that would foster individual and group learning. Thus, caution and thoughtfulness must be exercised in optimizing application of the twin-track approach as “mainstreaming” (EASPD, 2012).

In any event, a twin-track approach to optimizing the impacts of early childhood development policies, programs, and practices is well-warranted. Recent and future progress requires this simultaneous consideration of disability-specific initiatives (e.g., how will communities support the well-being of infants being born with Congenital Zika Virus Syndrome), alongside disability-inclusive or universal initiatives (how will communities capitalize upon scientific evidence of the link between infant and toddler well-being and adult health and prosperity later in life). And each of these “tracks” or initiatives has merit on its...
own; progress on either can and should enhance the other with a twin-track approach.

The “Triple-Twin-Track” Framework

Turning to the Sustainable Development Goal 4 target that all children gain access to quality early childhood development and care, that no one be left behind, and that all children attain their developmental potential, success depends upon a twin-track approach. Turning to a human rights orientation, successful integration and implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and CRPD depends upon a twin-track approach. This joint focus on universal and disability-specific progress can be deemed Twin-Track I in the “triple-twin-tracking” framework (see Figure 2).

Two other dimensions amenable to twin-tracking characterize the emergent frame of early childhood development as IECD captured in ECQUIP. This IECD dimension relies upon a second twin-track to harmonize policies and practices that vary in degree or type of child-centeredness or family/community-centeredness, as well as a third twin-track that recognizes the special and distinctive knowledge bases about infants, toddlers, and young children as both part of and apart from the broader knowledge base about children and youth. Some examples of these two additional twin-tracks allows for commitment to a triple-twin-track approach as an enriched framework.

Twin-Track II

Twin-track II reflects decades of research and experience documenting the value and necessity of a child-centered approach harmonized or balanced with an ecologically informed consideration of family and community contexts and determinants. A focus on the individual needs, rights, strengths, or weaknesses of a child is essential to fulfillment of her developmental potential, health, and well-being; family and community factors interact and influence the individual child’s status and trajectory thus must be addressed in policies, programs, and practices fostering early childhood development. Good programs might be child-centered or family-focused. Great programs are child-centered, family-focused, and community-based in an integrated and inclusive fashion. The

Figure 2. High-Quality Inclusive Early Childhood Development Programs and Policies Are Triple-Twin-Track
history of early childhood education and intervention, especially in its Head Start prototype and international replications, is replete with evidence of the need for abandoning simplistic child-centered vs family-centered framings and adopting twin tracking that integrates both child-centeredness and family-centeredness in community contexts (e.g., Lightfoot-Rueda & Peach, 2015). The value—even necessity—of such interaction has been documented in both disability-specific initiatives as well as disability-inclusive or universal initiatives, underlining the interdependence between Twin-Track I and Twin-Track II.

Twin-Track III

Twin-Track III reflects both scientific advances and field experience as it embraces the elaborating knowledge of child development and its applications, with a highlighting of specific knowledge and experience particular to infants, toddlers, and preschool children—the focus of ECD and IECD (Moore et al., 2017). Nineteenth and 20th century policies enhanced the well-being of children and youth in recognizing that they were not simply “small adults,” and that their rights and needs could and should be addressed in developmentally appropriate ways. More recently, the deepening and specialized understandings of distinctive needs and rights of infants and young children guide innovations in policy, programs, and practices. Initiatives such as the First 1,000 Days or ZERO TO THREE bolster the more broadly focused initiatives from, for example, Council for Exceptional Children or Association for Childhood Education International so that the full range of children’s rights and needs can be addressed (see box Learn More).

An IECD Twin-Track III approach integrates the specialized and general knowledge and action. Advocates for child health and development work alongside each other in alignments generated by multiple agendas for human rights and sustainable development, rather than in isolated silos where progress for infants and toddlers seems nongermane to progress for school-age children. Twin-Track III challenges both the competition and isolation too often experienced by champions for the well-being of young children and champions for older children and youth. Twin-Track II requires focus on family and community as part of focus on a child. And Twin-Track I keeps sensitivity and accountability to all—those with disabilities and those without. IECD demands this triple-twin-tracking as a framework for assessing and enhancing the quality of policies, programs, and practices.

Ways Forward

Agendas and recommendations for improving societies’ efforts to address the rights and needs of young children with disabilities come from diverse disciplines and agencies (Caceres, Tanner, & Williams, 2016; Collins et al., 2017; Darmstadt et al., 2016; Proulx & Lye, 2016). These initiatives could be sharpened or enhanced with a well-articulated IECD framework and triple-twin-track approach. For instance, as the World Bank’s Independent Evaluation Group (Caceres et al., 2016) synthesizes and disseminates its three principles for policymakers committed to maximizing child development—support the early development of children from birth, support parents through existing services, and make resources available to meet needs of the most vulnerable—each of the triple-twin-tracks impacts implications and applications. Disability and inclusion matters amplify the complexity and eventual efficacy of the principles.

A commitment to equity requires consideration of IECD and triple-twin-tracking, as illustrated by recent analyses and case studies documenting promising practices. Shared concerns of “children living in poverty, children living in remote rural communities and urban slums, children from minority families, children left behind by migrant parents, refugee children and those living through emergencies and conflicts, and children with disabilities” (Proulx & Lye, 2016, p. 12) must be addressed in order to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals and “leave no one behind.” Such lists of vulnerable or disadvantaged groups are essential to conveying the scope of inclusion. Children with disabilities are increasingly included on the lists, but still too often in a sort of “last, but not least” gesture. Initiatives such as the Mother and Child Manifesto (CEPPS, 2017a) can be commended for their recent explicit inclusion of children with disabilities in their advocacy for prenatal, perinatal, and infant care and support. They call for “a multi-sector approach to the provision of parenting support services and early childhood care for all mothers and their families—recognizing local and country-specific issues in relation to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals targets and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child” (CEPPS, 2017b). Triple-twin-tracking and further integration of CRPD principles will enhance the power of the manifesto for all children, not just those with disabilities.

A landmark mapping of research and policy gaps in services for children with developmental delays and disabilities articulates four related goals: (a) identification of children with disabilities
and their needs, (b) increased access to evidence-based services, (c) training and support for caregivers, and (d) policy and program improvement (Collins et al., 2017). Implicit in their agenda are the interrelated building blocks of the triple-twin-track approach—Twin-Track I harmonizing the well-being of all children with the well-being of those with disabilities, Twin-Track II integrating child-centered, family-focused, and community-based interventions, as well as the developmental sensitivity and coordination of Twin-Track III. Their recommendations for connecting the dots between the Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNICEF UK, 1989) and CRPD and with the Sustainable Development Goal’s advance an inclusive early childhood development agenda optimally guided by a triple-twin-track approach.

The comprehensive “call to action” issued by the International Conference on Birth Defects and Disabilities in the Developing World (Darmstadt et al., 2016) targeted four interdependent goals—reducing risks, improving care, improving data quality, and empowering the public and civil society. Cross-sectoral targets across multiple domains of services, training, research, and policy reflect multiple elements of triple-twin-tracking.

Again, enabling a commitment to “leaving no one behind” requires wide and deep attention to the needs and rights of all children, including young children with disabilities, their families, caregivers, and communities. As articulated in the several articles of this journal, numerous scientific and policy advances hold great promise for enhancing the well-being of infants and toddlers around the globe. The extent to which these initiatives aspire toward IECD and the inclusion of young children with disabilities and their families might well determine capacity for meeting global goals for sustainability and prosperity. Nurturing care, nutrition, and home visiting initiatives must strive for inclusion of infants and toddlers with disabilities (Richter, this issue, p. 10; Sullivan, Sakayan, & Cernak, this issue, p. 31; Walker, Chang, Smith, Baker-Henningham, and the Reach Up Team, this issue, p. 37) as well as engagement of fathers in all families (Barker, Levot, & Heilman, this issue, p. 44). Advancing IECD by documenting the economic case and significant returns on investment need elaboration with explicit focus on both the challenges and benefits associated with holistic and inclusive interventions (Lopez Boo, Cubides Mateus, Sorio, Gariibotto, & Berón, this issue, p. 51). Processes such as ECQUIP, triple-twin-tracking, or connecting the dots between the Convention on the Rights of the Child and CRPD can enhance progress toward these shared goals.
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Abstract
Good nutrition during the 1,000-day window between pregnancy and 2 years old can give children the opportunity to reach their full potential. Conversely, malnutrition early in life can cause irreversible damage to a child’s brain development and physical growth, leading to a lifetime of poor health and lost potential. Each year, malnutrition costs the global economy hundreds of billions of dollars in lost productivity and health care costs. Despite a strong evidence base, global nutrition has been historically undervalued by donors and governments, leaving a significant funding gap and an inability to deliver the high-impact interventions researchers know work. 1,000 Days, an independent nonprofit organization, works around the globe to advocate for increased investment in those proven interventions that can save and improve lives.

In 2010, the United States and Irish governments issued the 1,000 Days Call to Action (Clinton, 2010) to bring greater attention to what Bill Gates has called “the greatest missed opportunity in global health”: investments in maternal and child malnutrition. What followed was the formation of a global partnership bringing together governments, the private sector, and civil society organizations to promote targeted action and investment to improve nutrition during the critical 1,000-day window between a woman’s pregnancy and her child’s second birthday. By shining a light on what The Lancet (2008) medical journal had affirmed just 2 years prior—the role of early nutrition on children’s cognitive and physical development—the 1,000 Days Call to Action ushered in a new narrative on malnutrition from one that had focused on the visible signs of hunger and images of famine-stricken children to one that exposed the invisible devastation to a child’s potential just below the surface. Born from the call to action, and as an independent nonprofit today, 1,000 Days advocates that all children, no matter where they are born, deserve a fair start to life—and we know that begins with good nutrition (see box Nutrition: Fueling Minds, Bodies, and Economies).

Nutrition: Fueling Minds, Bodies, and Economies
Key facts about nutrition:
• Each year, malnutrition costs the global economy hundreds of billions of dollars in lost productivity and health care costs. Annual gross domestic product (GDP) losses attributable to malnutrition average 12% in Africa and Asia, eclipsing the GDP losses experienced after the 2008 global financial crisis (International Food Policy Research Institute, 2016).
• Although the problem of malnutrition is significant, proven solutions exist. In fact, nutrition has been ranked as one of the most cost-effective investments in international development and can set the foundation to end extreme poverty and promote economic growth (Horton & Steckel, 2011).
• Well-nourished children earn higher wages as adults, breaking the cycle of poverty and helping to build resilient national economies (Hoddinott, Alderman, Behrman, Haddad, & Horton, 2013).
• With the right level of commitment and investment, millions of lives could be saved and improved around the world. (Shekar et al., 2016).
A growing body of scientific and economic evidence has emerged over the past decade about the importance of nutrition for the health of mothers and children. The Lancet medical journal (2008, 2013) calculated the short- and long-term consequences of maternal and child undernutrition and laid out a framework of key nutrition actions during the 1,000-day window between pregnancy and a child’s second birthday that should be taken to allow a child to survive and thrive.

Indeed, this evidence base has underscored that nutrition during pregnancy and in the first years of a child’s life provides the essential building blocks for brain development. During the 1,000-day window, the brain grows more quickly than at any other time in a person’s life—and this growth is fueled by good nutrition. Moreover, a person’s lifelong health, including a strong immune system and their predisposition to obesity and certain chronic diseases, is shaped by how well a child is nourished during that 1,000-day period. Good nutrition can give children the opportunity to reach their full potential. Conversely, malnutrition early in life can cause irreversible damage to a child’s brain development and physical growth, leading to poorer performance in school, greater susceptibility to infection and disease, and a lifetime of lost earning potential (Black et al., 2013). These are impacts that can transcend generations: malnourished women give birth to malnourished daughters who grow up to become malnourished mothers themselves.

Unfortunately, the world is facing a massive malnutrition crisis. As the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization recently reported, for the first time in decades global hunger is on the rise, with an estimated 815 million people now suffering from chronic undernourishment (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, & WHO, 2017). In fact, malnutrition directly affects 1 in 3 people globally, and almost every challenge in global health and development is made worse by malnutrition. Twenty percent of maternal mortality is attributed to malnutrition (Bhutta et al., 2013). In children under 5 years old (approximately 155 million worldwide), malnutrition is the underlying cause of 45% of deaths (nearly 3 million each year; Black et al., 2013), representing more child deaths than from malaria, HIV/AIDS, and tuberculosis combined (see Figure 1). One in 4 children under 5 years old also suffers from stunting, a low height for their age (Development Initiatives, 2017) which causes lifelong consequences for the individual and society.

This pervasive issue slows economic growth and perpetuates a cycle of poverty through loss of productivity with poor physical status, loss of cognitive function, decreased schooling, and increase in health care costs over time. Fifty-two million children suffer from wasting or rapid loss of bodyweight due to acute malnutrition. Severely wasted children are on average 11 times more likely to die than their healthy counterparts (WHO/UNICEF/WFP, 2014).

Good nutrition in the early years of a child’s life is critical, but maternal nutrition is also key to breaking intergenerational cycles of poor health and poverty and ensuring women are able to fully participate in all aspects of their lives and livelihoods. Babies born to malnourished mothers are often underweight and malnourished themselves. Experts estimate that 20% of the stunting seen in children began in utero (Prendergast & Humphrey, 2014). A full 20% of maternal deaths are attributed to iron-deficiency anemia (WHO, 2018), a major drain to human productivity that undercuts other investments in health, education, and economic opportunities for women and girls.

Although the problem is large and almost universal, with the right mobilization of resources, there are proven, cost-effective interventions that could be deployed at scale today to save and improve millions of lives around the world. For example, according to the 2016 Lancet breastfeeding series, 820,000 child deaths—approximately 15% of total under-5 child deaths annually—could be prevented each year with improved breastfeeding. In 2016, the World Bank estimated that spending just an additional $10 per child per year between 2016–2025 on interventions such as exclusive breastfeeding and micronutrient supplementation would save the lives of at least 3.7 million children (Shekar et al., 2016).

In addition to having enormous potential to save and improve lives, these proven interventions also deliver some of the best economic benefits in global health and development. For example, every $1 invested in breastfeeding provides up to $35 in returns (Global Breastfeeding Collective, 2017). Economists estimated that stunting alone can decrease a country’s GDP by as much as 12% (Horton & Steckel, 2011). Investing in proven interventions for nutrition can save lives and save economies. Some examples of the interventions for urgent scale-up include: vitamin A supplementation for children, promotion of good infant and young child nutrition and hygiene practices, antenatal micronutrient supplementation, intermittent preventive treatment of malaria for pregnant women, iron folic...
acid supplements for adolescent girls, staple food fortification, pro-breastfeeding social policies, national breastfeeding promotion campaigns, and treatment of severe acute malnutrition. Scaling up these priority interventions would accelerate progress toward the global goals.

For example, in Ghana, less than 40% of children are exclusively breastfed at 4–5 months old. In northern Ghana, where 1 in 3 children under 5 years old are stunted, USAID is working to improve infant and young child feeding, particularly breastfeeding practices.

Kusumi Gipi, a new mother from northern Ghana, overcame initial difficulties in breastfeeding her son, who is now a healthy, thriving 2-year-old. With the help of USAID-trained community health workers, she learned that the problem was not an insufficient supply of breastmilk, but knowledge on appropriate breastfeeding practices. She also received information on maternal nutrition.

As a result of USAID’s efforts in Ghana, more than 1,570 health workers have received training on healthy breastfeeding practices. In addition, 610 Mother-to-Mother Support Groups have been formed, giving mothers and caregivers a forum to share experiences and learn more about proper nutrition practices (USAID, 2017).

Despite the strong evidence base and significant return on investment, governments have historically undervalued nutrition. Less than 1% of official development assistance is spent on the nutrition-specific programs1 that deliver high-impact, life-saving results. This underinvestment costs lives, especially those of women and children.

With the launch of the 1,000 Days partnership, and its subsequent maturation to an independent nonprofit organization, we have been working alongside partners to shift the funding paradigm so malnutrition—the underlying cause of 45% of all child deaths worldwide—receives more than just 1% of international assistance. Progress has been made. In the United States, 1,000 Days’ calls for increased investments to improve maternal and child nutrition have resulted in an increase from $55 million in fiscal year 2009 to $125 million in fiscal year 2018, despite a period of significant fiscal constraints in the United States and around the world. Also, the ranks of bipartisan congressional champions for nutrition have grown. Their support of critical global nutrition programs has been moving in the right direction to ensure greater progress in the fight against malnutrition. But in the words of Bill and Melinda Gates, we are “impatient optimists” and there needs to be a more rapid increase in resources to stem the devastating consequences of undernutrition.

Global Nutrition Targets

In 2016, the World Bank, Results for Development Institute, and 1,000 Days, with support from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Children’s Investment Fund Foundation, released an investment framework (Shekar et al., 2016) to reach the global nutrition targets (see box, The World Health Assembly Global Nutrition Targets 2025) adopted 4 years earlier by the 194 member states of the World Health Assembly, the decision-making body of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The analysis found that the current level of global funding for nutrition is vastly insufficient to accelerate progress toward meeting the four nutrition targets around stunting, anemia in women, exclusive breastfeeding, and wasting. Two of the global nutrition targets—those for low birthweight and child overweight—were not included in the analysis because of insufficient data on prevalence (low birthweight) and a lack of consensus on effective interventions to reach the target (childhood overweight).

Global Nutrition Targets

- Stunting: 40% reduction in the number of children under 5 years old who are stunted
- Anemia: 50% reduction of anemia in women of reproductive age
- Low birth weight: 30% reduction in low birth weight
- Childhood overweight: No increase in childhood overweight
- Breastfeeding: Increase the rate of exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months up to at least 50%
- Wasting: Reduce and maintain childhood wasting to less than 5%

Source: www.who.int/nutrition/global-target-2025/en

1 Nutrition-specific interventions or programs address the immediate determinants of fetal and child nutrition and development.
As noted, the U.S. government and its global partners invest less than 1% of Official Development Assistance in nutrition. Only $3.9 billion is spent annually by all countries and donors on the high-impact nutrition programs that are proven to save lives. The study found that over the next 10 years, an additional $70 billion in nutrition-specific financing is needed. This translates to $10.9 billion each year from donors, high-burden countries, and households themselves. Such an investment would yield tremendous returns: 3.7 million child lives saved, at least 65 million fewer stunted children, 265 million fewer women suffering from anemia, 105 million more children exclusively breastfed, and 91 million children treated for severe wasting as compared to 2015.

Although this level of investment may seem ambitious, it is not unprecedented. And donors and national governments can immediately begin to invest in a subset of high-impact solutions. This priority set of interventions would require only $2.2 billion a year above what is currently spent and is estimated to save 2.2 million lives and empower 50 million more children to grow to their full physical and cognitive potential in 2025. The majority of this annual investment would come from developing country governments and donors, $1.4 billion and $650 million, respectively.

With efforts on the ground worldwide and here in the United States, with bipartisan Congressional support and a large charitable response, the number of deaths of children under 5 years old has been cut in half globally. The number of people suffering from chronic hunger or living in extreme poverty has also been on the decline. The U.S. President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (2016) has delivered life-saving antiretroviral treatment to nearly 11.5 million people. And, since 2003, the McGovern-Dole school feeding program (McGovern-Dole International Food for Education and Child Nutrition Program, 2016) has reached more than 40 million infants, children, and pregnant and nursing women.

Now, global momentum has begun to address the devastating effects of malnutrition as an international partnership. In 2013, more than 90 stakeholders, including the U.S. and 23 other governments, signed the Global Nutrition for Growth Compact (Nutrition for Growth, 2016), committing $4.15 billion until 2020 for high-impact, high-return nutrition programs that have the power to change lives and the future. At the summit, then-USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah specifically pledged United States’ commitment to reduce the number of stunted children by roughly 2 million, reflecting a 20% reduction over 5 years in Feed the Future (n.d.) focus regions (see box Nutrition for Growth Commitment). In the fall of 2017, the current USAID Administrator, Mark Green, announced the initiative’s next phase and its latest achievements (Feed the Future, 2017), which included a 26% drop in the number of stunted children. This amounts to roughly 1.8 million children who are “living free from the devastating effects of stunting.” In addition, more than 3.7 million people have been trained in child health and nutrition.

In 2016, Congress institutionalized global agriculture and food security—with a strong emphasis on maternal and child nutrition—as key pillars of U.S. foreign assistance by passing the Global Food Security Act (P.L. 114-195). Congress recognized that “hunger and malnutrition rob people of health and productive lives and stunt the mental and physical development of future generations” (p. 1). In doing so, Congress not only reaffirmed America’s bipartisan legacy of compassion, but committed to being a force for good. Congress has also helped push for improved efficiencies in nutrition programming, including the development of the USAID Multisectoral Nutrition Strategy, which seeks to integrate resources across sectors, and the U.S. government Global Nutrition Coordination Plan, which takes a whole of government approach to accelerate progress against malnutrition. These results are very

2013 Nutrition for Growth Commitment

At the 2013 Nutrition for Growth Summit, the United States committed to the following global results:

- Ensure that at least 500 million pregnant women and children under 2 years old are reached with effective nutrition interventions.
- Reduce the number of children under 5 who are stunted by at least 20 million.
- Save the lives of at least 1.7 million children under 5 by preventing stunting, increasing breastfeeding, and increasing treatment of severe acute malnutrition.
encouraging and should be the foundation on which further cross-sectoral investment by the United States government and other donors can stand in order to meet their global goals around nutrition and development. Enhanced bilateral nutrition efforts from the United States government have the potential to leverage investments from other donors and to encourage domestic resource mobilization in countries most affected by malnutrition.

Multilateral institutions, such as the World Bank and the African Development Bank, are important partners in this endeavor. World Bank President Jim Yong Kim and the African Development Bank President and 2017 World Food Prize Laureate Dr. Akinwumi Adesina have both called for investments in “gray matter” infrastructure—the neuronal connections in the brains of children needed for optimal cognitive growth and learning—now in order to develop the capacity needed to drive future economic growth. Dr. Adesina has begun to mobilize national and regional leadership through the African Leaders for Nutrition initiative in support of nutrition investments to build Africa’s “gray matter” infrastructure. His message that “stunted children today leads to stunted economies tomorrow” (Adesina, 2017) is a clear articulation of this shared vision.

In addition to leadership in multilateral organizations, stakeholders in high burden countries are also mobilized for action. Launched in 2010, the Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) movement is now comprised of 60 countries and three Indian states, leading a global movement to end malnutrition in all its forms by 2030. Led by governments and supported by organizations and individuals, collective action from all stakeholders helps to ensure a greater prioritization for nutrition. It is a truly country-driven movement, and its vibrant partnerships include the more than 2,100 organizations that comprise the SUN Civil Society Network, which are integral to advocacy, awareness raising, and building political will for nutrition. They sit at the center of SUN efforts, with access to decision makers, media, and other constituencies, and they work alongside advocates in donor countries to increase investments in global nutrition. The efforts of these alliances tackle many components of the fight against malnutrition. For example, in Zambia, the SUN Civil Society alliance worked to increase public awareness of nutrition through media, including television, print media, and social media. In Kenya, the civil society advocates focused efforts on ensuring their Ministry of Health included a strong nutrition component in the national health policy. Through this movement, there has been great progress in budget tracking, improving nutrition policies, calling for an increase of investments to nutrition, and overall building political will at country-level.

As we look back since the launch of the 1,000 Days partnership, we can say with pride that 1,000 Days has brought important attention to the issue of malnutrition and has amplified the call for increased investment. Once a hidden hunger largely unnoticed, malnutrition is now better understood as a constraint to human and economic growth. Much of the focus for so long had been on visible signs of hunger, with images of famine-stricken children driving policymakers to act. By shining a light on the role of early nutrition on a child’s cognitive and physical development, The Lancet series (2008, 2013), and 1,000 Days’ subsequent advocacy efforts have helped to change the narrative on nutrition, especially its potential for impact. In the years ahead, 1,000 Days will continue to work with our partners to ensure that funding for global nutrition is consistent with the breadth of the problem and the potential for impact.

Lucy Martinez Sullivan, MBA, helped found 1,000 Days and serves as its executive director. At 1,000 Days, Lucy is proud to lead a team of fierce advocates who work tirelessly on behalf of women and children in the U.S. and throughout the world. Prior to 1,000 Days, Lucy worked as executive director at CCS, a management consulting firm that provides counsel to non-profit institutions on issues of strategy and philanthropy. Lucy holds a masters of business administration from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania and graduated cum laude from the University of Florida with a bachelor of arts in political science.

Mannik Sakayan is director of advocacy and outreach at 1,000 Days. She has extensive experience working on both foreign and domestic policy issues on and off Capitol Hill. Prior to joining 1,000 Days, Mannik served as deputy director of government relations at Bread for the World, where she led advocacy to end global and domestic hunger and poverty.
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Many children in low- and middle-income countries face biological and psychosocial risks that can prevent them from achieving their potential. Recent estimates suggest that 249 million children under 5 years old encounter significant challenges that affect their development (Black et al., 2017). The concept of “nurturing care” was introduced in the recent Lancet series on child development (see Richter, this issue, p. 10) as a comprehensive definition of the aspects of care young children need to support their development. Nurturing care includes adequate nutrition, access to health care, protection from violence, responsive interactions, and opportunities to learn (Black et al.). For children from birth to 3 years old, the family is the main provider of care; however, many families living in poverty and difficult circumstances may not have the resources and skills needed. Families need support from their communities and from government policies and programs that can strengthen their ability to provide nurturing care. Health and nutrition services (see Sullivan, Sakayan, & Cernak, this issue, p. 31) and policies to provide social safety nets to reduce poverty are increasing.

There are, however, fewer programs implemented at large scale that build parents’ ability to promote their children’s development through interactive responsive care and activities that help children explore and learn. We (the authors and the rest of the Reach Up team) developed the Reach Up Early Childhood Parenting Program and accompanying training package to provide an effective, adaptable program, feasible to implement in low-resource settings. Our aim is to facilitate building the capacity needed to implement these programs more widely. In this article, we describe the origins and content of the program and discuss the process of adapting and implementing it, with lessons learned from implementation in several countries.

The Reach Up Early Childhood Parenting Program is based on the Jamaica Home Visit (JHV) intervention designed by Sally...
Families need support from their communities and from government policies and programs that can strengthen their ability to provide nurturing care.

Granthon-McGregor. Substantial evidence shows the JHV’s benefits to children’s development and to parenting practices that promote development (Granthon-McGregor & Smith, 2016). The intervention has been successfully adapted and evaluated in Bangladesh and Colombia, with documented benefits to children’s development (Attanasio et al., 2014; Harnadani, Huda, Khatun, & Granthon-McGregor, 2006; Nahar et al., 2012). It was adapted and implemented at large scale by the Peruvian government through its Cuna Más program (Rubio-Codina, Tomé, & Araujo, 2016). Evidence from three small cohorts in Jamaica has shown that benefits continue into later childhood, with one cohort providing evidence of wide-ranging gains in adulthood to education, mental health, and income, and in reductions in violent behavior (Granthon-McGregor, Powell, Walker, Chang, & Fletcher, 1994; Walker, Chang, Vera-Hernandez, & Granthon-McGregor, 2011; Walker, Chang, Younger, & Granthon-McGregor, 2010).

The intervention is guided by core principles (see box Core Principles of the Jamaica Home Visit/Reach Up Early Childhood Parenting Program) and was developed so that it could be delivered by paraprofessionals with a minimum of completed primary education. The visits begin with some time catching up with how the family has been and how the mother and child have progressed with the activities from the previous visit. The home visitor then engages the mother and child in a play session. The visitor introduces new activities through an interactive approach: observing what the child does, demonstrating and describing the activity to mother and child, helping the child with the activity, encouraging mother and child to practice, giving positive feedback, and celebrating success. The visit ends with a review of activities to continue during the week and encouragement to continue the activities and to try to include them in daily routines.

Home visitors model desired actions and demonstrate activities to encourage mothers to respond to their children’s vocalizations and actions. They demonstrate ways the mother can talk about and show her child objects and activities in their environment, and how to introduce new activities and concepts. Visitors promote giving praise, celebrating the child’s achievements and efforts, and showing love throughout the visit.

The JHV uses a structured curriculum with activities arranged in order of difficulty. Many activities build on earlier ones, and children usually move on to new sets of activities each week (Granthon-McGregor & Smith, 2016). An activity that is difficult for a child can be be broken down in steps. Activities and play materials were specifically designed for the intervention and include blocks, dolls, sets of puzzles, sorting and matching activities, and books. Toys were designed with locally available and affordable materials in mind, such as cardboard and plastic bottles. Simple picture books and pictures are used to support language development activities.

Many of the activities for children under 2 years old support the development of abilities described by Uzgiris & Hunt (1978), including object permanence, causation, imitation of gestures and vocalization, and exploration of objects. Activities for older children were informed by Francis Palmer’s (1971) concept curriculum and were designed to facilitate teaching concepts such as size, quantity, color, shape, position, and classification. Additional activities were included to facilitate the development of problem solving, attention and persistence, language, and general knowledge.

Development of the Reach Up program was supported by Grand Challenges Canada. Prior to this, the JHV had been adapted and used in Bangladesh, Colombia, and Peru. There was increasing international desire to scale up programs to reach the many families in need; however, policymakers and program implementers needed guidance on how to deliver effective parenting programs. We recognized the need for a more comprehensive training package that would make it easier to train trainers and for these trainers to be able to train home visitors. The goal was to increase the capacity of implementing agencies, including nongovernmental organizations and governments, to deliver programs that enhance nurturing care for children under 3.

### Core Principles of the JHV/Reach Up Early Childhood Parenting Program

- Works through parents by building a positive relationship to support them in strengthening skills to promote child development.
- Aims to build the mother’s skills, self-esteem, and enjoyment in helping her child play and learn.
- Trains home visitors to listen to the mother, seek her opinions, and ask about activities she already does with her child, and to acknowledge these and give encouragement and praise.
- Uses a structured curriculum of developmentally appropriate activities.
- Uses an interactive approach of demonstration and modeling and practice of activities to build skills.
- Emphasizes praise for parent and child.
The Reach Up Program

The JHV training materials included the curriculum and an instruction manual that explained how to make the toys. The curriculum was designed for use by community workers with primary education, and it describes the activities and goals for each visit. The manual was updated using simple language and pictures, with plans for each visit organized by Materials Needed, Objectives of the Visit, and Things to Do (see Figure 1 for a sample page from the Reach Up curriculum). To support the home visitor, the curriculum includes brief reminders of the steps for introducing an activity and some suggested dialogue. We produced a curriculum for weekly visits, as in the original JHV, and a fortnightly curriculum (biweekly visits), which may be more feasible to implement in larger scale programs.

Manuals

The original toy manual was revised and extended, and templates were produced or revised for other play materials including books, pictures, puzzles, and classification games. We developed three additional manuals to facilitate training and implementation: (a) an adaptation and planning guide; (b) a training manual with accompanying training films; and (c) a manual on supervision to be used to train supervisors and for supervisors to refer to for guidance. These are designed for use by persons who will adapt the program and prepare for its implementation, and for those who will conduct training of visitors and supervisors.

Training Content

The training manual includes a suggested training schedule, aims and activities for each training session, and guides for using various aids, such as flip charts and films, in the training sessions. The content includes topics such as how children develop and the importance of parents, how to conduct a successful home visit, how to use the curriculum, how to demonstrate specific activities, and how to make toys.

Training is interactive and includes group brainstorming and trainer demonstrations of successful interactions in person and in films, role plays, and small-group activities. Toward the end of the training, trainees practice putting together the methods and activities learned into a complete visit. Following the workshop training, visitors are accompanied on practice home visits.

Training Films

Scripts for the training films were developed by the Reach Up team in collaboration with Development Media International, who produced the films. Filming was done in Bangladesh, Jamaica, and Peru, with a Reach Up team member on site for all films to ensure they were consistent with the program’s approach and methods. The films show home visitors interacting with a parent and child. Three 15-minute films (one for each country) demonstrate key steps in a home visit: the initial interaction and discussion of the past week, a play and language activity, and the ending with a song and review of new activities and encouragement. Twenty-eight short films of approximately 2–3 minutes each show methods used (e.g., building a positive relationship with the parent, praise, enjoying the visit and having fun) and demonstrate specific activities (e.g., blocks, puzzles). The films are available in Bengali, English, French, and Spanish. Additional translations (e.g., Mandarin and Portuguese) have been completed by other countries implementing the program.

Successful Adaptation and Implementation

Successful implementation of the program in a new context requires review of the curriculum and materials and adaptation where needed. Adapting the program begins with understanding the context in which the program will be implemented—including culture, resources, and infrastructure for delivery, and particularly assessing the availability of individuals who can be trained as visitors and as trainers who will use the package to train those who will deliver the program. Adapters must decide whether the program will be linked to an existing service, and whether other priority issues should be addressed in the home visits. Successful implementation also requires the development of a sustainable plan to maintain intervention quality through supportive supervision.

Figure 1. Sample page extracted from the Reach Up curriculum
Curriculum

The curriculum is usually adapted to include local songs and games. Other adaptations have responded to national preferences, such as delaying introduction of crayon and paper activities in Bolivia. These activities are typically introduced after age 12 months, with children exploring crayons and making a mark on paper and progressing to having fun scribbling at 18 months. The Bolivian team felt that children needed to build gross motor and other fine motor skills before introducing crayons, so the curriculum was changed to introduce these activities later, at 23 months. The program is designed to offer the flexibility to include appropriate new activities and modify existing ones. However, the basic principles of the intervention and concepts covered in the curriculum must be retained, and visitors must use an interactive and supportive approach.

Other national priorities for children, such as nutrition and health messages, can be included in visits. For example, the Cuna Más program in Peru prioritizes hygiene, particularly hand washing, and hand washing instruction and practice is part of the visits. The home visit film produced in Peru demonstrates the washing of hands and delivers other messages at the start of the film.

Materials

Illustrations in books, pictures, and sorting and matching games should be reviewed and modified as needed. It is not necessary to redraw everything, for example, objects such as balls or cups. On the other hand, pictures should reflect the children’s family and community environment and be adapted so that representations of people and their clothing and activities such as cooking, eating, and sleeping are appropriate for the local context. Adaptations can also be made to support national priorities. In India, the implementing organization made the main character in most of the books a girl, to reinforce efforts to promote gender equity. Some examples of adapted pictures are shown in Figure 2.

The toys in the manual made from recyclable materials may need to be modified depending on the types of materials available (see Figures 3 and 4). Pilot work to find out families’ opinions about the toys can be helpful; for example, in Brazil the toys were given more decoration to make them more attractive and acceptable to parents (A. Brentani, personal communication, 2015).

Training

The suggested training schedule for the Reach Up program is 10 days, with content as described above. A trainer who is experienced in the program should conduct the initial training of lead trainers for the program. Although training is planned as 2 consecutive weeks, it has also been conducted over a series of shorter intervals such as weekends, to accommodate trainees’ other activities and commitments. Reducing the total number of training days is not encouraged, however. The interactive approach to the training is also important, with an emphasis on recognizing and valuing the contribution of those being trained. The training seeks to incorporate their ideas and address their concerns. For example, the implementing organization in Zimbabwe raised the concern that adults were not accustomed to praising children. Because giving praise is integral to the program, the training was adapted to include additional practice of the use of praise in more group activities, so that home visitors and supervisors could understand its importance and could model it for parents, helping them to see its benefits.

Supervision

Supportive supervision for home visitors is critical to maintaining intervention quality. The relationships that supervisors have with home visitors models the relationship visitors will have with parents. Identifying persons who can provide supervision is an essential part of planning for a program. In locations where Reach Up is integrated with an existing service, supervision may have to be provided by an existing category of staff.
Supervisors need to participate in the full training for the program. In the first implementation of the Reach Up package in Brazil, we discussed supervisors’ roles and responsibilities and trained them to use the monitoring checklist for observations of home visits. We then developed a manual to address the qualities needed in a supervisor and supervisor responsibilities. The manual also included a table of common challenges encountered and sample solutions. We used this manual in the training of supervisors in Zimbabwe and realized it needed to provide more interactive learning. Thus, the manual was revised to include more brainstorming, discussions, and group activities, and an expanded section on mentoring through supportive feedback and building positive relationships with home visitors. The content is supported with short scenarios depicting challenges that supervisors and visitors may encounter, used in group activities to identify challenges and practice solutions. The revised manual has been used for supervisor training in other countries including Bolivia, Guatemala, and Turkey.

We recommend that a supervisor accompany each visitor on home visits once per month. Supervisors use the monitoring checklist to assist them in looking out for the essential steps and approach to the visit. Individual supervision may be supplemented by group sessions to share experiences and come up with strategies to address any problems. Group sessions are particularly important where individual observations may be less frequent.

**Delivery**

The program was originally developed with weekly 1-hour visits. To increase feasibility in many settings, delivery has been reduced to fortnightly and shorter visit duration.

The persons who will conduct the visits will vary and will depend on the location and types of services already available. In Jamaica, visits have been made by community health workers directly employed by a project and by those employed by primary care services (Grantham-McGregor, Powell, Walker, & Himes, 1991; Powell, Baker-Henningham, Walker, Gernay, & Grantham-McGregor, 2004). Recent work in Brazil compared these approaches by training both new community agents employed to conduct the visits and community health workers employed by the health service. Implementation was much more successful with the community agents than with health workers. Health workers indicated that the additional time to conduct the visits along with their usual work schedule was a challenge (A. Brentani, personal communication, 2017). Each community health worker was asked to deliver the intervention fortnightly to 20 families, which may not have been feasible given their existing responsibilities. This example reinforces the need to consider current workloads when planning delivery through existing services.

In other settings, community women have been trained (Attanasio et al., 2014; Hamadani et al., 2006), which is the approach now being implemented by Child Fund in Guatemala. In Zimbabwe, we are collaborating with the JF Kapnek Trust and linking for the first time with the education sector. Teaching assistants, linked to preschools that the Trust supports, conduct the visits.

Important characteristics of the home visitor include the ability to build relationships with families, along with the motivation as well as sufficient organizational skills to prepare for and conduct the visits. In most situations, although the program implementers will identify an appropriate category of person to conduct home visits, it will not be possible to select the particular individuals who will be trained. It is therefore very important to provide the visitors with adequate training and supportive supervision to build and support the development of the necessary skills. In locations where some selection has been possible, it has generally focused only on visitors’ levels of literacy (Attanasio et al., 2014).

Modifications to the program to increase feasibility, such as reduction in contacts with families (reduced frequency and duration of visits) and reductions in training and supervision, may impact maintaining the effectiveness of the program. Changes should be considered carefully, with attention to
strategies to maintain quality and provide sufficient interaction with families. In a recent implementation in Jamaica with fortnightly 30-minute visits and reduced time for training of visitors (3 days instead of 10), benefits to children’s cognitive ability were smaller than in prior evaluations, and there were no benefits to language or to fine-motor skills (Walker et al., 2015).

Information on the acceptability and feasibility of the Reach Up program was obtained through qualitative interviews with mothers, home visitors, and supervisors in Brazil and Zimbabwe. Mothers perceived benefits for their children’s development and readiness for school. They also said that the program developed their confidence as teachers of their children and that it was possible to include the activities in their daily routine. Home visitors felt the training prepared them to successfully conduct the home visits and that the curriculum and toy manuals provided clear guidance for implementing the program. The training also helped them to build good relationships with mothers. Home visitors also valued their supervision and felt that they had someone to help them address challenges. The supervisors reported that they felt prepared for observing and guiding the home visits, but needed more training on handling issues they encountered in the field. Additional information from the interviews is available (Smith, Baker–Henningham, Brentani, Mugweni, & Walker, 2018).

In an effort to support continued implementation quality, the Reach Up package is made available to those organizations that agree to have someone experienced in the program provide feedback on adaptation and conduct the training of trainers. The program has been implemented in 11 countries across Asia, Africa, and Latin America, and we are now collaborating with the International Rescue Committee on the expansion of early childhood services for Syrian refugees. Collaborations are also planned to reach disadvantaged families in the United States and United Kingdom. We are continuing to develop a network of lead trainers needed for the expansion of the program. As the program is implemented in new countries, we aim to build training capacity in the implementing team. Our goal is to have lead trainers available in different regions to support adaptation and training in additional countries.
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Fathers matter enormously for children and for early childhood development, as do men in multiple other caregiving roles. And yet the global statistics speak loudly on who mostly cares for children in their first years of life. Even with all the advances toward equality for women in the past 20 years, women around the world still spend, on average, 3 times as much time caring for children (and caring for their homes) as men and fathers do (Heilman, Levtov, van der Gaag, Hassink, & Barker, 2017). Of course, many individual men and fathers are—or strive to be—equal caregivers in their homes. And globally, some men and fathers are doing more of the hands-on care of young children. But we have a long way to go before we achieve true equality of unpaid care work between men and women.

Why Fathers and Why MenCare?

The historical legacy of who predominately cares for young children (mothers and other women) means that fathers, and men in other caregiving roles, are still seen as the secondary caregiver, the “helper,” if they are seen at all in their caregiving roles. At the same time, a growing, global body of research confirms that when men are involved in the lives of children in nurturing ways, there are multiple benefits for children, for women, for men themselves, and for societies as a whole.

It is from this premise that Promundo, an international nongovernmental organization (NGO) working toward gender equality and the promotion of healthy masculinities, co-founded the MenCare global fatherhood campaign in 2011. The MenCare campaign has the objective of creating a global platform of research exchange, evidence-based program development, and policy-focused advocacy to promote men’s involvement as equitable, nonviolent, supportive caregivers.

Promundo staff knew from the start that the topic of promoting father involvement was fraught with tensions. In some countries and settings, too many discussions about ‘where are the fathers’ were being driven by fathers’ rights groups, sometimes led by men holding anti-feminist stances, often motivated by contentious custody issues. For some organizations working in children’s rights and child development, men have been seen as either absent or as bringers of harm, and given the high prevalence of men’s use of violence against women and children, this perspective should be taken seriously. Other colleagues have worried that fathers would become the “flavor of the month”, and that mothers—who have been doing the lion’s share of the care work and yet still lack the services and policies they need—would become the “taken for granted” parent or made invisible by turning attention to fathers. Thus,
from the start, Promundo, and the partner organizations who created MenCare and continue to lead it, affirmed core principles rooted in women’s rights, children’s rights, violence prevention, and the early childhood development field. The campaign emphasizes the diversity of women’s and men’s caregiving, and also recognizes the important contributions of caregivers of all gender identities and sexual orientations. There are now NGO partners from more than 40 countries participating in the campaign, all motivated by a belief that fathers’ increased involvement in care work can and should be promoted in a framework of gender equality, children’s rights, with full acknowledgement of and support for the diversity of families, caregivers, and children.

Why Fathers Matter for Child Development

One of the biggest challenges to achieving full equality in the care of young children—at home or in child care settings—is the widespread belief that women are more “natural” caregivers, at least in part because women give birth to and breastfeed babies. But new research is demonstrating that men are biologically wired to care for infants as well; fathers who are physically close to their babies and who are actively and directly involved in caring for them (even if they are not biological fathers) change biologically in ways that are nearly identical to mothers. One study found that levels of “nurturing hormones” are similar in men and women exposed to “infant stimuli” before their babies are born (e.g., watching a video of a baby, listening to an audiotape of babies’ cries, holding a doll wrapped in a blanket recently worn by a newborn) and when interacting with their children afterward (Storey, Walsh, Quinton, & Wynne-Edwards, 2000). Within 15 minutes of holding a baby, according to this study, men experience increases in the hormones that facilitate responsiveness to infants (vasopressin), closeness and care (prolactin), and affection and social bonds (oxytocin).

In short, men’s bodies react to close connection with children in many similar ways to women’s bodies. Both men’s and women’s biochemistry changes to facilitate their bonding with young children. These physiological changes are likely part of our evolutionary legacy, according to scholarship: hominid children with more—and more attuned—caregivers were more likely to survive and thrive than those with fewer (Hrdy, 2011). Human evolutionary history suggests, according to many authors, that all humans, regardless of sex or gender, survive and thrive if they are loved and cared for. As a corollary, then, humans’ very evolutionary history suggests that all humans, regardless of sex and gender, are born to love and to care. In evolutionary terms, all evidence shows that men and women alike possess the epigenetic traits (referring to those biological traits that are activated by a person’s environment) that foster an innate human capacity to be connected, nurturing caregivers.

It is now widely accepted that fathers matter for children in terms of developmental outcomes. There is ample evidence that fathers’ increased engagement in caregiving activities boosts a variety of social, emotional, cognitive, and behavioral outcomes for children. For example, multiple studies have reported that fathers’ taking 40% or more of the caregiving responsibility in the family is associated with positive outcomes in children’s test scores and cognitive achievement (Halle, 2002; Jones & Mosher, 2013). A review of 18 research studies on father involvement and child outcomes found that in 17 of those studies, fathers’ greater involvement was associated with positive social, behavioral, psychological, and cognitive outcomes for children. Specifically, father involvement is associated with decreased behavioral problems in boys and decreased psychological problems in girls (Sarkadi, Kristianssson, Oberklais, & Bremberg, 2008).

Furthermore, evidence shows that when men are engaged from the start of children’s lives—whether by participating in prenatal care and education, being present during childbirth, or taking leave from work when a child is born—they establish a pattern of greater lifelong participation. In essence, they flex their nascent caregiving abilities and learn to use them. Fathers’ ongoing positive involvement in the lives of their sons and daughters—listening to them and involving them in decision-making—enhances children’s physical, cognitive, emotional, and social development and can contribute to their emotional well-being and happiness (Burgess, 2006; Cabrera, Shannon, & Tamis-LeMonda, 2007; Davis, Luchters, & Holmes, 2012; Panter-Brick et al., 2014).

Father involvement matters for creating cycles of gender equality as well. When fathers engage in housework and child care and spend time with their sons and daughters, these contribute to boys’ acceptance of gender equality and to girls’ sense of autonomy (DeGeer, Carolo, & Minerson, 2014). Engaged fatherhood can also help protect children from violence, abuse, exploitation, and neglect, and it can help ensure their access to health and education. When daughters and sons see their fathers in respectful, nonviolent, equitable
relationships with their mothers and other women, and/or in the context of equitable gay or queer relationships, they internalize the idea that men and women are equal and that intimate partners treat each other with respect and care. These children often grow up to pass these notions of respect and equality on to their own children (Barker, Contreras, Heilman, Singh, & Nascimento, 2011; Burgess, 2006; DeGeer et al., 2014).

The overwhelming conclusion is that fathers matter for children, and they matter for helping to raise sons and daughters who are more likely to become involved, equitable, attuned caregivers themselves. In addition to the traditional child development outcomes measured, equitable involvement by men as caregivers pays forward. It contributes to the next generation of women and men who are more likely to interact in relationships based on equality and mutual support.

Moving Beyond the Question of Fathers’ Unique Contributions to Child Development

Much of the discussion about the role of fathers in child development has focused on the question: What are fathers’ unique contributions to child development? This question has sought to affirm that fathers matter not only as co-parents in the context of heterosexual families but that fathers contribute something inherently different than mothers do. Most of the time this “different” or unique contribution focuses on helping children to acquire some trait that is considered traditionally male, such as being athletic or “tough,” or merely on playing or other more recreational aspects of parenting.

To be sure, some studies find that fathers—at least in North America and northern Europe, where most of the research has been carried out—contribute to children’s development in ways that are different than mothers. But even if these differences are real, it is important to affirm that they are not biologically or genetically driven. They are a result of socially constructed, gendered patterns by which women do a greater share of the hands-on caregiving and men do more of the breadwinning and activities outside the home. These discrepancies also result from each generation copying the gendered patterns of care they saw and learned from their own parents. If one’s own mother was more likely to soothe and nurture, and one’s own father was more likely to focus on play, and if all social messages reinforced the assertion that this was the “normal” or “best” way of dividing parenting roles, then it’s not surprising for one’s own caregiving to follow a similar pattern. But it is crucial to recognize that these patterns are not driven by biology; instead, they come from social norms and stereotypes which shape the world in inequitable ways.

All of these functions—soothing, nurturing, playing, disciplining, and many more—are necessary components of caring for children, to be sure. The issue is that they too often follow and reinforce inequitable patterns that reduce women and girls to only or mostly being caregivers, while men and boys are encouraged to see caregiving as secondary activities. In sum, then, the notion that fathers contribute in unique ways to child development, or that mothers do, reinforces the very system of inequality that keeps men from doing an equitable share of the daily care of children. All caregivers can and should be encouraged to participate in all care work, and recognized for their effort and contributions when they do so.

It is increasingly common for the literature on fathers’ contributions to child development to affirm that fathers and other male caregivers matter for child development in the sense that more nurturing caregivers are better than one in terms of a child’s development. The body of child development literature attests to the need for one caregiver (or more than one) who is centrally devoted to the child, in the sense that they put the child’s needs above their own and are consistent, attentive caregivers, regardless of their sex. Seeking to affirm or identify a unique role for fathers or men—a role different from that of mothers and other caregivers—may at a practical level help professionals to engage some fathers by making fathers feel special. Certainly all fathers (or mothers, or any caregivers) want to feel their contribution to their child is unique, and it is. But the reality is that fathers matter to children the same way that mothers and other caregivers do—meaning they matter to the extent that they nurture, support, connect, and provide consistent and developmentally appropriate care.

The Barriers to Men Doing More Caregiving

If men and fathers can care for young children as well as women and mothers can, and if fathers are as biologically wired for care, and if their care matters for children as much as mothers and other female caregivers’ does, then what is keeping fathers from doing an equitable share?

This question is at the heart of the MenCare campaign’s goals and activities. One central action of the MenCare campaign globally has been the production of the first-ever global report...
on fatherhood, *State of the World’s Fathers*, first launched in 2015 (Levtov, van der Gaag, Greene, Kaufman, & Barker, 2015), with a follow-up report in 2017, *State of the World’s Fathers: Time for Action* (Heilman et al., 2017). In those reports (and in more than 10 country reports that drew from the global reports), Promundo and co-authors synthesized global research on father involvement in order to draw conclusions and recommendations for ongoing advocacy. To date, these recommendations have been shared with national governments around the world, at the United Nations, and with international organizations working in children’s rights, child development, and women’s rights. All of these efforts share the goals of identifying and overcoming barriers to achieving equality of care work.

For the foundational *State of the World’s Fathers* report in 2015 (Levtov et al.), the authors reviewed the global evidence, working from existing data (and from data from the Promundo-led International Men and Gender Equality Survey, see Barker et al., 2011). The report confirmed that men’s equitable caregiving matters for child development, for better maternal and child health outcomes, for women’s empowerment, for men’s health and well-being, and for societies. The authors also observed that data on fatherhood and fathers’ involvement in care work are rare, even as the U.N. and other international bodies have generated new, global, comparative datasets on women, women’s health, women’s time use, and children’s well-being. What we don’t count doesn’t count. If national governments and the U.N. do not measure and assess men’s participation in the care of children (which, admittedly, is not a straightforward or easy task), then not only does this show that societies don’t value this participation, but it also makes it impossible to understand how far off the goal of equal care work remains.

The authors of the second *State of the World’s Fathers* report in 2017 (Heilman et al.) called the report “Time for Action” to emphasize the importance and urgency of action to achieve equal care work. In this report, the authors presented analyses of existing time-use studies (including more than 100 country-level datasets), showing that, on average, women spend 3 times as much daily time on caring for the home and caring for children as men do. This difference ranges regionally from about 2.7 times in East Asia and the Pacific to 4.5 times in the Middle East and North Africa, and 6.5 times in South Asia; to an average of about 2 times for the wealthier countries of North American and Europe (among available country datasets). The authors also confirmed that women consistently do more daily hours of work than men do, when their unpaid work and paid work is combined. That is, even when taking into account men’s greater average number of hours working outside the home than women’s, women still carry out more hours of total work every day, on average, than men. The report also emphasizes the need to build on the gender equality and children’s rights elements of the Sustainable Development Goals, which mention the need to achieve equality for women in terms of unpaid care but do not explicitly call for men and boys to do half of that unpaid care work.

At the same time, the 2017 report (Heilman et al.) affirmed that many men do want to be more involved in the lives of their children, and indeed that many men are more involved than men from prior generations. Even in countries where men’s involvement in care work is limited, such as those in the Middle East and North Africa, half or more of men surveyed said that they spent too little time with their children because of their job. In the United States, 46% of fathers said they were not spending enough time with their children, compared with 23% of mothers (Heilman et al., 2017).

The report also identified three important barriers to men’s fuller involvement as caregivers: social norms, economic and workplace realities, and laws and policies. Across 59 countries, 45% of men and 35% of women, on average, agreed with the statement, “When jobs are scarce, men should have more rights to a job than women,” demonstrating persistently inequitable social norms around gender and work. Among other workplace realities, the gender wage gap and norms that discriminate against flexibility or taking leave further drive an inequitable division of labor at home and at work. And laws and policies around parental leave, equal pay, public provision of child care, and social protection often reinforce the unequal distribution of care as well.

**The Policies and Programs Needed to Achieve Full Father Involvement**

In this current setting of inequitable caregiving, what is needed? In the broadest sense, of course, the poorest families around the world need access to income support—including poverty alleviation and affordable, high-quality child care. These kinds of supports are still uneven around the world.

More precisely, one of the most important policy recommendations is the need for paid parental leave (ideally enshrined in national legislation), equally shared between mothers and fathers (or between other co-caregiver arrangements). As of 2016, paternity leave—usually a short leave period specifically allocated for fathers after the birth of a child—is still offered in only about half of the countries of the world (86 countries), while parental leave—leave that is typically longer and can be taken by either parent—is offered in even fewer (53 countries). This lack of leave availability continues despite evidence that effective leave policies can help to transform gender relations at work and at home, as well as support women’s economic participation.

Accordingly, MenCare partner NGOs have been advocating and lobbying for equal paid leave for fathers and mothers in diverse settings, and at least with some success. For example, in Brazil, in part because of MenCare advocacy efforts, national legislation increased paid leave for fathers from 5 days to 20 days fully paid (by comparison, mothers in Brazil receive 4 months paid leave). In other countries and at the U.N., MenCare partners have promoted equitable paid, parental leave.

In addition, evidence is also increasingly showing that well-designed parent training and parent support programs that
make specific efforts to recruit fathers, and also support mothers, need to be scaled up or made more universally available. As such, MenCare partners have worked to build the evidence base around father-inclusive, or father-focused, parent training in global South settings. A rich evidence base from the global North has affirmed that well-designed programs with mothers and fathers, particularly those that focus on parental cooperation in child care (regardless of whether parents are married, unmarried, or separated), can achieve multiple positive outcomes for children (Cowan, Cowan, Kline Pruett, Pruett, & Wong, 2009). Following such approaches, MenCare partners in Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Indonesia, Lebanon, Nicaragua, Rwanda, South Africa, and the U.S. and elsewhere have developed, adapted, or implemented a father training module called Program P (P for the word for father in many languages, padre, pai, pere; Promundo, CulturaSalud, & REDMAS, 2013).

The module includes activities for expectant fathers and their partners (some activities carried out only with fathers, others with the couple together) focusing on couple communication and joint decision-making, men’s support and participation during pregnancy and early childhood, gender equality, and nonviolent relationships with partners and children. In participatory, consciousness-raising activities, trained facilitators (young fathers from the same communities) guide parents through the activities over a 3–4-month process, in partnership with health services and other social services. A recent randomized control trial of the process in Rwanda (in partnership with the Rwandan Ministry of Health) with nearly 2,400 women and men found that:

(a) women in the intervention group reported higher support from male partners during their pregnancy and went to more prenatal visits compared to the control group,
(b) women in the intervention group reported much lower rates of violence from male partners in the previous 12 months compared to the control group, and
(c) both men and women in the intervention group reported lower rates of violence against their children.

All of these changes were sustained at statistically significant levels 16 months after the intervention ended (Doyle et al., in press). On the basis of these positive results, the evaluation will be replicated in Bolivia, and efforts are already underway to make the Program P training module a part of the maternal and child care system in Rwanda and elsewhere. (See box, “Lessons Learned and Future Directions of MenCare”).

### Lessons Learned and Future Directions of MenCare

The breadth of MenCare activities has included (a) policy advocacy; (b) creative use of media and awareness-raising events to change ideas about fatherhood; (c) training and outreach to diverse social service, health, and education sectors; and (d) scaling up of evidence-based father training. Some highlights of these activities include:

- **In Brazil, the Netherlands, and South Africa**, MenCare partners used results from the State of the World’s Fathers reports (Heilman et al., 2017; Levitov et al., 2015) to advocate for increased paid leave for fathers, going from 5 days to 20 in the case of Brazil, and up to 5 weeks nontransferable leave for fathers paid at 70% of salary in the Netherlands. In South Africa, the new law promoted by MenCare partners provides for 10 days paid leave for fathers. The MenCare parental leave platform has been widely disseminated at various high-level United Nations meetings and at discussions of parental leave at the European Union.

- **In Georgia**, MenCare partners organized a “football/soccer” cup for fathers and children, while in **Mexico** MenCare partners organized a “hands-on fathers’ day” to promote father involvement among staff at one of the country’s largest universities. MenCare partners have also produced children’s books to promote gender equality and hands-on involvement by fathers, organized photo exhibitions of involved fatherhood, and developed cartoon videos. In **Bulgaria**, MenCare awareness-raising has targeted kindergartens and social work professionals to identify ways to engage fathers.

- MenCare partners in Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Indonesia, Nicaragua, Russia, Rwanda, South Africa, the U.S. and elsewhere have offered evidence-based father and co-parent training building on a common curriculum, Program P, which has been subject to a randomized control trial in Rwanda and rigorous evaluation in Central America and Bolivia. MenCare partners have used diverse strategies for recruitment. Brazil, Rwanda, and other country partners have found that prenatal visits offer one of the most promising places to recruit fathers. In **Brazil** and **Chile**, the ministries of health sponsored online training courses for health professionals in ways to recruit fathers, father training strategies, and ways to use the health sector to engage fathers as co-parents and allies in maternal and child health.

Now with more than 45 countries participating, MenCare plans for the future include:

1. deepening country-level advocacy to push for equitable parental leave and to include fathers in national advocacy around ending corporate punishment;
2. identifying ways to build evidence-based parent training (building on Program P) into the public health sector and early child development sectors;
3. partnering with key women’s rights partners to continue working to achieve a global goal of men carrying out half of the daily care work, and partnering with key research partners to gather international time-use data to assess progress; and
4. partnering with large media outlets and private sector partners to change the presentations of fathers in the media, emphasizing men’s caregiving.

The MenCare partners will continue producing new State of the World’s Fathers reports every 2 years as a key advocacy strategy, with launch and outreach events at United Nations and international gatherings as well as at the country level.
It Takes a Village to Support Caregivers to Raise a Child

Clearly, paid parental leave and evidence-based parent training are not sufficient to provide the support necessary for all caregivers, particularly the lowest income ones, to do all they can for their young children. Paid child care, income support, abolishment of physical punishment, preventing and responding to men’s violence against women, access to high-quality primary health care, and much more, are necessary for achieving the support that mothers and fathers need to care for their children. Advocates also need to promote gender equality in the caregiving professions. While efforts have expanded to promote girls’ and women’s participation in the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) professions, much more needs to be done to promote men’s involvement in caring professions, including as early child care workers, primary school teachers, and other caring professions such as nurses. Achieving gender equality in caregiving—meaning men and women sharing, on aggregate, the daily care of young children—will not by itself achieve the positive outcomes and full nurturing that young children need. But without the full and equitable involvement of men and fathers in the daily care of their youngest children, it will not be possible to achieve the promise that all children deserve.

Authors’ Note: Much of the data and conclusions presented here were previously published as part of State of America’s Fathers (Heilman et al., 2016), State of the World’s Fathers: A MenCare Advocacy Publication (Levtov et al., 2015), ) and State of the World’s Fathers 2017: Time for Action (Heilman et al., 2017). The authors thank the various contributors and co-authors of those reports.
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Abstract
Uruguay is making great progress in improving the lives of its youngest children. A national longitudinal early childhood-focused household survey has been, and will continue to be, an important tool for identifying concerns and targeting interventions. In a study of the home environment, the authors found that children from lower income households are exposed to worse home environments—that is, less responsive and more punitive. These results point to the need for further interventions aimed at improving the home environment of vulnerable families.

The ideal environment and stimulation for healthy child development depends not only on the physical and human resources that facilitate responsive interactions between caregivers and children, but also on dynamic processes such as the frequency and intensity with which these interactions occur—variables that are much more difficult to measure and regulate.

Through a comprehensive system of support, Uruguay has made progress in improving the living conditions of children. Children are less likely to die in their first years of life, enjoy better health and nutrition, and go to school from an earlier age as compared to just a few years ago. The proportion of people below the poverty line has declined continuously in the last 10 years (34% in 2006 to 9% in 2016 overall and from 53% to 21% in children under 4 years old in the same period), but childhood poverty is still double the overall proportion of poverty. Moreover, while among older adults the reduction in poverty was 86%, it was only 60% among children under 4 (MIDES-Uruguay Crece Contigo, 2015). (See Table 1 for a summary of the main indicators of early childhood development in 2014.)

To address these issues, a series of public policies have been implemented, including the Equity Plan in 2008, which built, among other things, an index to measure poverty multidimensionally. Moreover, through an Integrated National Health System, benefits and health coverage have been extended for pregnant women and children under 4 years old (Solari Morales, Cerruti, & Garibotto, 2016). Based on the integrated approach of the Equity Plan, the President of
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A Protection System for Early Childhood

The goal of the UCC, as a national directorate of the Ministry of Social Development, is to build a comprehensive protection system for early childhood. The UCC works to guarantee the comprehensive development of children and their families—beginning with the protection of pregnant women—from a perspective that recognizes individual rights, gender equality, social justice, and comprehensive human development. To meet the needs of children with disabilities, the National Disability Program (MIDES, n.d.) and the Social Security Bank (Banco de Prevision Social) provide specific services ranging from disability pensions to easing access to rehabilitation centers. Since 2016, the Uruguayan Institute for Children and Adolescents (Instituto del Niño y el Adolescente de Uruguay) has provided a unique program for children with disabilities to receive free services from a personal assistant in day care centers and at school.

To achieve its goals, the UCC develops universal, targeted actions to guarantee the adequate care and protection of pregnant women and the comprehensive development of children under 4 years old. The UCC is organized into three components:

**Component 1: Comprehensive Protection for Early Childhood**

Component 1 aims to promote the necessary conditions for all children to exercise their right to a good start in life, contributing to the creation of a system of protection for early childhood.

**Component 2: Territories to Grow**

Component 2 aims to reduce the social and health inequities in families with pregnant women and children under 4 years old through the implementation of Early Childhood Development Programs, which emphasize family support, community work, and working across sectors to respond to family’s needs.

UCC deploys a series of targeted interventions aimed at families with children and pregnant women in a situation of vulnerability. The common core of these is working with families in their homes.

**Component 3: Knowledge Management and Innovation for Early Childhood**

Component 3, among its objectives, proposes, “Promoting the social management of knowledge for the early childhood development and the generation of innovative responses”. One of its central axes is to “provide timely and adequate information to guide the design of early childhood policies” which is, in part, accomplished by a national household survey.

---

**Table 1. Selection of Indicators of Early Child Welfare in Uruguay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Results in 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children under 4 years old who attend a child care center (The reasons for not attending are concentrated in two categories: the child’s early age and family members already taking care of child.)</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families that send children to centers because it’s good for the child</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families that send children to centers because the mother works</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor children attending an initial education center</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-poor children attending an initial education center</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children who present some type of alteration in their psychomotor development (ASQ screening)</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with children under 4 years old in which someone smokes</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor households with children under 4 years old in which someone smokes</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women who smoked at some stage of pregnancy</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birth weight children</td>
<td>7.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature births</td>
<td>8.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children who were ever breastfed</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns who leave the maternity without exclusive breastfeeding</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Cabella et al., 2015
The Nutrition, Child Development, and Health Survey (ENDIS)

In this context, the UCC and its partners developed the first Uruguayan Nutrition, Child Development, and Health Survey (ENDIS, for its acronym in Spanish). Uruguay’s ENDIS is a longitudinal survey that arose from a need to generate information at the national level to allow for a more in-depth study of the current state of early childhood from different aspects, including socioeconomic conditions, child development (both physical and cognitive), and parenting practices, thereby generating inputs to inform the design and implementation of public policies aimed at young children. The ENDIS also constitutes the country’s first effort toward a longitudinal demographic and health survey, which was previously nonexistent.

As part of the ENDIS survey, additional instruments were administered that allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the conditions and environment in which Uruguayan children were growing. The first wave of the survey was conducted from October 2013 to February 2014 and included 3,077 children from birth to 3 years and 11 months old from 2,665 urban households (localities with more than 5,000 inhabitants), which were also interviewed as part of the National Statistics Institute (INE’s) Continuous Household Survey (Cabella et al., 2015). The second wave was carried out between December 2015 and May 2016, during which information was obtained on 2,611 children from 2,310 households. Of those children, 2,383 had participated in the first wave while 228 were visited for the first time.

The second wave of the ENDIS included a more objective observational measurement of the quality of the stimulation offered to children in the home to allow for cross-country comparisons. For this reason, with IDB support, interviewers administered a short version of the Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME; Caldwell & Bradley, 2003), an instrument that combines observations with information reported by the parents and that focuses on the child as a receiver of objects, events, and interactions that occur with their closest family members. The HOME is designed to measure the quality of the family environment in the home from both a quantitative and qualitative perspective.

The HOME assessed the home environment and parenting practices. The Big Five Inventory (John, Naumann, & Soto, 2008) examined personality traits (in this case, of the mothers or primary caregivers); and the Ages & Stages Questionnaires, Third Edition (ASQ-3; Squires & Bricker, 2009) provided an indicator of the development of the children in the sample.

Summary of Findings

The initial findings on the relationship between the HOME and the socioeconomic variables that characterize the homes of those children who participated in the ENDIS survey serves as a starting point for understanding the current state of early childhood in Uruguay.

When analyzing the results on the HOME, we found that the most socioeconomically vulnerable children are exposed to environments that do not favor their development; that is, these are less receptive, more punitive environments. The difference in scores between the poorest and the wealthiest, as well as between the children of less and more educated mothers, suggested that there are significant disparities within Uruguay. An average of two negative practices were observed in the poorest households, while roughly half that number of unhealthy practices were observed in the wealthiest households.

When comparing these results with those obtained in other countries in the region, Uruguayan children are exposed to better environments over the entire distribution (Berlinski & Schady, 2015). However, Uruguayan parents or caregivers have more negative practices than their Chilean counterparts. Additional analysis implied that Uruguayan parents or caregivers offer an emotionally colder but less punitive environment as compared to Chilean parents and caregivers (Lopez Boo & Cubides Mateus, 2017).

Conclusion and Recommendations

The ideal environment and stimulation for proper child development depend on multiple factors. The frequency and intensity of interactions between caregivers and children has been proven to be one of the most important. Using the HOME as a measure of the quality of the stimulation offered to children in the home, we discovered that the most socioeconomically vulnerable children (low income households with less educated mothers) are exposed to unfavorable environments—that is, less responsive and more punitive. These results demonstrate the need for interventions aimed at improving the home environment of the most vulnerable families to ensure equity not only in the present, but also in years to come, given the solid correlations between the HOME and future outcomes (Berlinski & Schady, 2015).

1 Uruguay is a 95% urban country.
Finally, it is important to note that a single measurement is inadequate in terms of fully assessing the current state of early childhood; repeated measurements over time would offer an overview of how Uruguayan parenting practices are evolving and would reveal changes following participation in certain programs (e.g., UCC). The organizational and logistical challenges inherent to the monitoring of this variable and the training of the personnel in charge of administration and observations should be taken into account when planning future budgetary and personnel needs. Nevertheless, in spite of these challenges—and recognizing that programs aimed at increasing family income do not suffice when it comes to the timely improvement of children’s outcomes—programs that seek to strengthen the child-rearing skills of the most vulnerable parents are the best bet to improve children’s developmental trajectories, which are key to the progress of any society, and to break the intergenerational transmission of poverty.
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Around the World With ZERO TO THREE
A Sample of Global Engagement by Members of the Board, Academy Fellows, and Staff

Editor’s Note: Below are brief descriptions of some of the ways that the Members of the Board of Directors, Academy Fellows, and staff at ZERO TO THREE are focusing on the healthy development of infants, toddlers, and their families around the globe.

A Secret to Bringing Early Childhood Programs to Scale: Supporting Voices in the Field

Jane West

Lunch time on October 5th, 2016, I sat at my computer in Colorado gobbling up a sumptuous and historic sight: on one event stage, the leaders of The World Bank, The World Health Organization, and the United Nations Children’s Fund stood alongside catalysts from academia and declared their growing financial and institutional dedication to placing early childhood development policy and programs at the heart of their strategies. Pegged that day to the critical publication of the latest Lancet series on early childhood development (The Lancet, 2016), this show of support signaled to me that a global movement was indeed taking shape before my eyes...and my ears! Here’s one webinar snippet that has stuck with me: “Our failure to make the right investments in early childhood development is condemning millions of children to lives of exclusion,” said Jim Yong Kim, the World Bank Group president. “From breastfeeding and micronutrient supplements to stimulation by caregivers, early reading, and getting kids out of toxic environments,” he continued, “we’re able to achieve substantial improvements in short periods of time with major returns on the investment.” I was over the moon, to speak with a very large frame. My professional home, ZERO TO THREE, had led the national movement to value the needs of infants and toddlers for many decades, and now the heads of three giant global institutions were echoing the famed ZERO TO THREE mantra that “Babies Can’t Wait.”

I could not wait either, to be honest. Increasingly, I had been noting that the leadership at ZERO TO THREE—staff, board members, and Fellows—was on the move all over the world: giving trainings on psychotherapy models or new intervention strategies or consulting to external governments and agencies about expansions in early learning and home visitation systems. I myself was crossing borders often as I had started a small international early childhood mental health donor-advised

The Baby Mat: while sitting on a comfortable cloth on the ground of the public health clinics, pairs of psychologists and home visitors invite over families, who are waiting many hours for their immunization appointments, so that caregivers might share their stories and concerns about their newborns.
fund a few years earlier and I was eager to exchange ideas and lessons learned with colleagues from all parts of the early childhood field. This interest in deepening global connections was one reason that this issue of the ZERO TO THREE Journal and, especially, this expanded section on the global reach and reflections of leaders at ZERO TO THREE, came to be. There is so much to be gained from knowing about and from being a part of what is unfolding overseas: from the questions that are being asked, to the ways that vital developmental science is being made culturally relevant to all kinds of populations, to the thrilling programmatic innovations underway that have not yet reached our shores.

I have certainly learned this firsthand. Throughout the last 4 years, the grantees of The Two Lilies Fund (which is in honor of my two grandmothers, both named Lillian) have illuminated the way forward with groundbreaking ideas about how to reach out to potential clients and how to embed reflection and care into all parts of an organization. Take the example of the Ububele Educational and Psychotherapy Trust which has pioneered an outreach strategy to new moms called the Baby Mat. While sitting on a comfortable cloth on the ground of the public health clinics in their township in Johannesburg, South Africa, pairs of psychologists and home visitors invite over families, who are waiting many hours for their immunization appointments. In a non-threatening way, the professionals make themselves available so that caregivers might wander over and share their stories and concerns about their newborns. In return, the families receive an empathetic and skilled ear and a referral to the home visitation or outpatient psychotherapy services Ububele provides, if that’s what is needed.

This group also stands out for its comprehensive approach to reflective practice. Throughout the week, a variety of supports are in place, from the inclusive staff meetings (everyone from the cook to the executive director are present) to daily homemade lunches to home visitation group supervision and Baby Mat reflective time. All of these moments, and many more informal ones, work together to envelop the frontline staff—in a culture of care. When I was launching an early childhood mental health consultation program in the mountains of Colorado at the start of this century—before there were many resources and guidelines—our team could have greatly benefitted from the buffering practices established by Ububele that, to my eye, seem to keep secondary trauma at bay as much as is possible. Another grantee that has awed me with its layered culture of care is the first residential master’s program in child development focused on students from developing countries, based at the University of Haifa in Israel. When the program directors were first setting up this year-long course 4 years ago, they anticipated that parts of the curriculum—such as the readings about insecure attachment and early trauma—might trigger feelings of loss and grief in their students who were so far from their support systems back home. They created a true innovation, a now-weekly course solely devoted to processing what the students were experiencing in response to their coursework (and distance from home), and they also provided students with emotionally available tutors, mentors, program assistants, and faculty. I had the opportunity to interview in depth the first graduates of this program for a short film called Pioneer Class,1 and they shared that this unusual group supervision (an experience unlike any they had ever had in their lives) had allowed them to make it through the year in one piece and in some cases had even helped heal them from trauma they had endured earlier in their lives. Now, as emerging early childhood leaders, they felt ready once home to take on the unknown but expected challenges of championing a field that itself is just stepping onto the global stage.

Deeply listening to these grantees, voices from various professions and parts of the world, has deeply moved me and enriched me as an early childhood champion. Most notably, it has helped me to understand just what it may take to fulfill the promise of that October day when global agencies committed to bringing critical early childhood programs to scale around the world. The success of this much-needed scale up may very much depend, in the end, on the quality and sensitivity of the training and supervision on offer to the new leaders who will be advocating and adapting and inventing systems of care and on offer to the community workers who will be on the frontlines, delivering the programs and the promise of a strong start to the world’s youngest members and their families.

Jane West, LPC, ECSE, is a mental health professional and educator specializing globally in early childhood issues. She runs

1 See the movie at thetwoliliesfund.org

Children’s art courtesy of The Ububele Educational & Psychotherapy Trust, Johannesburg, South Africa

“Flowers”
International Perspectives on Young Children and Disasters

Joy D. Osofsky and Howard J. Osofsky

With the incidence of disasters increasing, many children and their families are impacted by natural and technological disasters. Save the Children (2015) estimated that up to 175 million children will be affected by weather-related disasters over the course of the decade, with an upsurge related to climate change (McDiarmid, 2008). Although there is recognition that children and families have important needs, studies on response and recovery mainly emphasize rebuilding of structures and infrastructure such as homes, schools, and businesses. Despite the numbers of children affected, they often do not receive the attention they need (Masten & Osofsky, 2010; Osofsky, Hansel, & Speier, in press).

Addressing the needs of young children is especially important in addition to the direct effects of the disaster, because children are particularly vulnerable and dependent on the well-being of and support from their caregivers. The emotional distress of babies and young children is less obvious because, while they absorb everything around them, they cannot yet verbalize their distress and fears. It is important to address the stress experienced by parents and caregivers related to displacement, damage to homes and schools, economic losses, and unavailability of services. Seemingly simple adjustments in response following disasters can make a significant difference for younger children, for example, finding ways to re-establish some type of routines, providing opportunities to play, and taking steps to minimize and protect children from stressful and challenging living situations. It is important to recognize common symptoms of exposure to trauma such as behavioral and emotional dysregulation including aggression, withdrawal, and regressive behaviors such as problems with toileting, sleeping, and clinging to parents or caregivers, especially at times of stress.

Disasters across the globe include natural disasters such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tornadoes, wild fires, tsunamis, and flooding, and technological disasters including oil spills, toxic exposure, failure of safety mechanisms, and nuclear accidents. The developmental implications for young children are important to consider. In our data following Hurricane Katrina, parents of young children (from birth to 7 years old) reported behavioral health symptoms that met the cut-off for further evaluation in 30% of their children (Kronenberg et al., 2010; Osofsky, Kronenberg, Bocknek, & Hansel, 2015). Thirty-three percent of parents of these children requested services. Because there can also be a mix of effects from natural and technological disasters, it is important to consider how these different sources of trauma can impact young children.

Although there is recognition that children and families have important needs, studies on response and recovery mainly emphasize rebuilding of structures and infrastructure such as homes, schools, and businesses.
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technological disasters, it is important to understand both risk factors that may contribute to increases in behavioral health symptoms, including posttraumatic stress disorder, and ways to provide support and services to young children and their parents during the initial response and longer-term recovery.

Global Applications

It is important to consider lessons learned to help inform responses to disasters in different locations around the world. From a multicultural perspective, there may be diversity in experiences and responses to disasters. Overall comparisons across cultures suggest that symptoms may share universal similarities with unique variance for specific symptoms often linked with posttraumatic stress (Kalayjian, Kanazi, Aberson, & Feygin, 2002; Masten, 2014; Norris, Perilla, & Murphy, 2001; Osofsky et al., in press). It is clear that improved understanding of how culture may influence traumatic responses is important.

Two examples related to collaborative, international applications of therapeutic interventions following disasters will be described. The first was a collaborative effort to provide support and behavioral health information to colleagues reaching out to young children and their families in Chile following the 2010 earthquake and tsunami. We provided support to the local team in building a post-disaster program gaining understanding of the needs and providing culturally based mental health understanding and supportive mental health responses and services. Navarro and colleagues (2016) carried out an exploratory study to compare the disaster experiences and psychological symptoms of children 8–17 years old following the 2010 Chilean earthquake and tsunami with those of similar age children following 2005 Hurricane Katrina. The measure used was a modified version of the National Child Traumatic Stress Network Disaster and Referral Interview (2005) that our team adapted following Hurricane Katrina. Both the Chilean and Louisiana children and adolescents reported multiple symptoms of posttraumatic stress and depression with more than one third of the students in both locations meeting the criteria for further evaluation. The similar responses related to mental health symptoms in two different parts of the world underline the importance of including mental and behavioral health supports for children following major disasters.

The second example relates to the 2011 earthquake, tsunami, and Fukushima Nuclear Disaster in Japan. We were invited to provide support related to response and recovery by our colleague Dr. Hisako Watanabe in Japan with hopes of being able to build on lessons learned following Hurricane Katrina. We recognized that respecting cultural differences would be very important. When visiting Koriyama, 40 kilometers from Fukushima where the nuclear plant was located, the pediatric community organized a meeting of medical professionals in the hospital auditorium. Parents and child care providers were invited and described their experiences including not allowing their children to go outside and having to make them wear long sleeves even in the heat of the summer. One mother described with tears in her eyes how her 5-year-old daughter picked up a flower outside and brought it into the house. The mother had to take the flower from her child and throw it away and had to wash the child’s hands and all of her clothing. Many mothers stated feeling very sad living this way.

Conclusion: Lessons Learned

Nearly all children, even very young children, experience some period of distress following disasters. The majority of children demonstrate psychosocial resilience over time, especially with a supportive family, preschools, child care centers, schools, and community services. There is abundant evidence (Greeson et al., 2011; Masten, Narayan, Silverman, & Osofsky, 2015; Osofsky et al., 2015; Osofsky & Osofsky, 2013; Weems et al., 2016) that increased vulnerability in children following disasters relates to economic problems, disparities in care, exposure to multiple traumas, and pre-existing behavioral health problems. Lessons learned from studying the results of natural, technological, and complex disasters with multiple components can be generalized across disasters and countries.
While hope for rapid recovery is always present, in fact, the impact over time depends upon the extent of the devastation, slowness of recovery, and concerns about safety. For children, those who experience more traumas are at increased risk to develop symptoms of posttraumatic stress and depression. In all communities where the Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center team has worked, whether in the US or other countries, we have learned that some behavioral and emotional reactions to trauma may be “normal” and may come to represent a “new normal.” It is important that the behavioral health needs of younger children and families are a part of disaster response and recovery in order to build resilience following a major disaster. For prevention and intervention, it is important to provide assessments, interventions, and supportive services with stakeholder participation in settings accessible to children and families including child care and Head Start Centers, schools, community centers, and community-based clinics. The development and availability of these types of supports will further aid the growth of resilience.
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Howard J. Osofsky, MD, PhD, is the Kathleen and John Bricker Chair and professor of psychiatry, Louisiana State University (LSU). Dr. Osofsky is a fellow of the American Psychiatric Association, a diplomat of the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, and is also certified by the American Psychoanalytic Association. He has published more than 100 articles, and under his leadership, LSU Health New Orleans’ Department of Psychiatry has expanded services for the underserved in New Orleans and across the state. He has played an important role in developing community psychosocial preparedness programs for first responders and mental health professionals to improve responses following disasters and terrorism. He served as co-director of LSU Health New Orleans’ Louisiana Rural Trauma Services Center and currently is co-director of the Terrorism and Disaster Coalition for Child and Family Resilience which is part of the National Traumatic Child Stress Network. In the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, Dr. Osofsky was asked to be clinical director for Louisiana Spirit, the Department of Health and Hospital’s Crisis Counseling Program under the Stafford Disaster Act. He consulted and provided training in New York following 9/11, in Japan and Taiwan following earthquakes and typhoons, and in Chile following the earthquake and tsunami. In November 2015, given his expertise in disaster mental health, was asked to co-chair the National Center for Terrorism and Disaster Committee of the National Center for Child Network. He is nationally and internationally recognized as a leader for his effects to help children and families exposed to violence, disasters, terrorism, and warfare. In 2007, Dr. Osofsky received the Sarah Haley Award for Clinical Excellence for trauma work from the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies.
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In the late 1990s, the three of us were members of the MacArthur Foundation Research Network on “Early Experience and Brain Development” (chaired by Charles Nelson). One of the signature projects of the Network was the Bucharest Early Intervention Project (BEIP). This was the first ever randomized controlled trial of foster care as an alternative to institutional care. Here, we describe how the project was launched, briefly summarize major findings, and consider implications.

Background

Through serendipity, a colleague invited one of us to be part of a medical mission trip to Romania to evaluate children living in the network of institutions that were promoted under former President Ceausescu. The first visit occurred when Romania was less than a decade removed from his overthrow, and the government was attempting to address an unprecedented child protection problem—170,000 children living in appalling conditions in institutions. This medical group spent a week evaluating children in many types of institutions and then met with the minister of Child Protection to review their findings. What emerged from this meeting was the idea of conducting an intervention study to assess foster care as an alternative to institutional care. Although the minister for child protection was a reformer interested in alternatives, he indicated that there was substantial resistance to de-institutionalization in Romania, and he thought that carefully conducted research could provide data relevant to their policy debate.


Recovery From Severe Deprivation: The Bucharest Early Intervention Project

Charles H. Zeanah, Nathan A. Fox, and Charles A. Nelson

In the late 1990s, the three of us were members of the MacArthur Foundation Research Network on “Early Experience and Brain Development” (chaired by Charles Nelson). One of the signature projects of the Network was the Bucharest Early Intervention Project (BEIP). This was the first ever randomized controlled trial of foster care as an alternative to institutional care. Here, we describe how the project was launched, briefly summarize major findings, and consider implications.

Long-term positive outcomes are enhanced when children remain in loving homes.
Rationale and Study Design

When we made our first trip, only nine studies had been published comparing children in foster care to children in institutions. All found children in foster care were developing more favorably. On the other hand, it was plausible that children with more severe disabilities were sent to institutions rather than to families. Therefore, we decided to conduct a randomized clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of foster care as an alternative to institutional care. We recruited 136 young children—6 to 31 months old—who had been abandoned at birth and placed in institutions in Bucharest. We had a group of 72 children recruited from community pediatric clinics who had never been institutionalized to serve as a typically developing Romanian reference group. Following comprehensive baseline assessments, the young children were randomly assigned either to be removed from institutions and placed into foster care or to remain in the usual care in institutions. The study was designed to examine the effects of institutionalization on the brain and behavioral development of young children and to determine whether these effects can be remediated through intervention, in this case foster care.

At the time this study began, foster care was limited in Romania, but we were able to recruit and train 56 foster parents to care for 68 children. During the initial phase of this study, BEIP foster parents were paid a salary (as per new legislation) and provided a monthly stipend (to help with expenses related to caring for their foster child(ren)). Three BEIP social workers maintained close contact with foster parents and provided them with support and guidance throughout the trial. These social workers consulted weekly with experienced clinicians at Tulane University.

The clinical trial continued until the children were 54 months old, and throughout that time we ran the foster care program. At the conclusion of the trial, we turned the foster care network over to the local governmental authorities in Bucharest who then assumed financial and administrative management of it.

In addition to the comprehensive baseline assessments prior to randomization, we conducted reassessments at 30, 42, and 54 months during the trial. We also have conducted follow-up assessments of the children at 8, 12, and 16 years old.

Summary of Key Findings

Results from the BEIP has demonstrated convincingly that children institutionalized since birth are at risk for developing impairments and delays in a number of domains (Zeanah, Humphreys, Fox, & Nelson, 2017). Children with histories of institutional rearing have serious psychiatric problems and cognitive impairments, including those involved in exercising judgment and planning, controlling impulses, and retaining memories. Findings also demonstrate that early intervention in the form of high-quality foster care can remediate some but not all of these delays and deficits. For example, children placed in BEIP foster care exhibited fewer emotional or anxiety disorders than children randomized to remain in institutional care. One additional finding from BEIP deserves mention: the timing of the intervention matters a great deal. Thus, children removed from institutions and placed in foster care before 24 months old demonstrate better outcomes—particularly in the domains of cognition, language, brain activity, and social skills—than children placed after 24 months. For instance, children placed in the foster care group before 24 months old exhibit higher levels of brain activity and demonstrate higher IQs than children placed in foster care after 24 months.

In addition, IQ and psychiatric outcomes indicate that long-term positive outcomes are enhanced when children remain in loving homes. Those children who were originally placed in carefully monitored and supported BEIP foster care and who stayed in those homes through 12 years old demonstrated significantly higher cognitive scores and fewer psychiatric diagnoses than children who were originally placed in BEIP foster care and then moved to other arrangements (e.g., being adopted, being reunited with their biological families, or being moved to government foster care that became available after the study began). This result is notable because at 54 months old, those who would remain in stable placements through 12 years and those who would experience a placement disruption, were not different in IQ or prevalence of psychiatric disorders, suggesting that the disruption led to poorer outcomes rather than that troubled children cause disruptions.

Implications

On the basis of BEIP findings to date, and other studies of children who experienced varying amounts of institutional
rearing, we conclude with several points that we believe have policy implications:

- Family care is preferable to institutional care for young children—not because it is inevitably better (although it usually is) but because it provides the kind of commitment and quality caregiving from responsible adults that is more likely to provide young children with what they need, including warmth, sensitivity, and responsiveness to distress.

- The quality of care matters a great deal. Although family care generally is better than group care, there is great variability in foster family care and commitment.

- Even for children who begin life in conditions of deprivation, substantial recovery is possible for at least some children.

- The sooner young children are placed into adequate caregiving environments, the more likely they are to recover and the more recovery they are likely to achieve.

- The stability of placements also matters greatly. The more disruptions young children experience, the more likely they are to be harmed.

Impact

Now only about 20,000 children are in institutions in Romania, but they are mostly severely disabled, and now many are housed in small group home-like settings rather than the large, deprived institutions of 30 years ago. BEIP is certainly one of many factors that contributed to the massive de-institutionalization that has occurred in Romania in the past 20 years.

Beyond Romania, BEIP stands as the strongest evidence that large impersonal institutional care is especially toxic for young children’s development. For this reason, BEIP has been prominently featured in policy initiatives such as the 2011 Evidence Summit on “Protecting Children Outside of Family Care” in the United States (Boothby et al., 2012) and the 2012 European Union Parliament “Exhibition and Roundtable on Children in Institutions.” We hope to have opportunities both to continue to follow the children of BEIP as they transition to adulthood and also to explore caregiving alternatives for children living in institutions in other settings.

Nathan A. Fox, PhD, is Distinguished University Professor at the University of Maryland. Dr. Fox studies the effects of early experience on brain and behavioral development. His focus has been on social and emotional development and how individual differences in temperament and experience interact.

Charles A. Nelson, PhD, is professor of pediatrics and neuroscience at Harvard Medical School and holds the Richard David Scott Chair in Pediatric Developmental Medicine Research at Boston Children’s Hospital. His primary research interests concern the effects of early experience on brain and behavioral development.

Charles H. Zeanah, MD, is the Mary Peters Sellars-Polchow Chair in Psychiatry and vice chair for child and adolescent psychiatry at the Tulane University School of Medicine. He studies the effects of adverse experiences on infant mental health.
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Understanding Parent–Child Interaction Globally: A Model Using the PICCOLO Assessment Tool

Mark S. Innocenti and Lori A. Roggman

A special issue of The Lancet (2016) was focused on global needs to advance early childhood development. Infant mental health was identified as a globally needed growth area, with an increasing need for practical measures of key infant mental health constructs, such as the quality of caregiver–infant interaction for assessing a family system’s strengths, needs, and progress (Britto et al., 2017). Sometimes, these measurement needs are met by sharing measures across countries. The advantage of adapting an established measure is that it is quicker, cheaper, and easier than developing a new measure, and once available, it facilitates international studies and comparisons across languages and cultures. Tools developed in one place, however, often need adaptations to be used effectively in a new place where the culture, language, and social systems are different from the context in which a measure was developed (Sabanathan, Wills, & Gladstone, 2015). The procedures used for translating and adapting measures to new cultures are rarely reported in any detail beyond a statement that items were
translated by experts, perhaps because there is little consensus in the field regarding recommended cross-cultural adaptation methods (Epstein, Osborne, Elsworth, Beaton, & Guillemin, 2015). Nevertheless, a thoughtful process of adaptation considers issues related not only to language translation, but also to cultural adaptation and cross-cultural validation (Beaton, Bombardier, Guillemin, & Ferraz, 2000).

Cross-cultural adaptations of measures may be especially important for measures that examine constructs such as parenting, in which culture plays a role in the expression of behaviors (Keller et al., 2004). Although certain competences are present in all parents, they may manifest differently in different cultures, and possibly influence children’s outcomes in different ways (Farkas, Vallotton, Strasser, Santelices, & Himmel, 2017). In this brief, we present information on the international translation and adaptation process of one parent–child interaction measure. We present information on the measure, the translation process, and work done on cultural adaptation and cross-cultural comparison as an example of a process that can be used by others adapting measures across languages, cultures, and countries.

The Parenting Interactions With Children: Checklist of Observation Linked to Outcomes (PICCOLO; Roggman, Cook, Innocenti, Jump Norman, & Christiansen, 2013a) is an observational measure of parent–child interaction. The PICCOLO was developed with infant mental health practitioners as intended users, with the aim to develop a tool that would be easy to use and would provide the practitioner with immediate information about parenting strengths to guide intervention. PICCOLO was developed for children from 10 to 47 months old. When observing with PICCOLO, parents are asked to play with their child for 10 minutes. The practitioner observes the play and then scores 29 items in the domains of affection, responsiveness, encouragement, and teaching. Feedback focuses on parent strengths, with the goal of building on those strengths.

The PICCOLO not only met our usefulness criteria (cf., Morris et al., 2017; Wheeler et al., 2013), the measure also demonstrated strong reliability and validity for mothers and fathers with children in poverty and for mothers of children with disabilities (Anderson, Roggman, Innocenti, & Cook, 2013; Innocenti, Roggman, & Cook, 2013; Roggman, Cook, Innocenti, Jump Norman, & Christiansen, 2013b). Early PICCOLO scores predict academic outcomes 10 years later (Innocenti et al., 2013).

Interest in PICCOLO among international practitioners and researchers began pre-publication and has been growing (Bayoglu, Unal, Elibol, Karabulut, & Innocenti, 2013). The need for a linguistic translation process became clear. On the basis of recommended procedures for language adaptations (Beaton et al., 2000), we developed a process in which international researchers/practitioners would translate the PICCOLO into their language, and PICCOLO would then be translated back to English by a skilled translator. The authors (primarily Roggman and Innocenti) would review the back-translation and identify areas of concern. Conversations between the translators and authors would resolve issues identified in this process. This process has worked well.

PICCOLO translations exist for Brazilian Portuguese, Dutch, German, Italian, Spanish (Chile and Spain), and Turkish. The measure has also been used in China, Finland, and Moldova by bilingual observers and in other English-speaking countries such as Canada and Australia. Translation issues have been identified. For example, in German there was no direct translation for “parenting.” When translated to German, the word parenting, as used in PICCOLO, had a strong teaching connotation and somewhat emotionally harsh implication. Our German-speaking colleagues had to combine two other words to express the English meaning of parenting. In Italian, affection translated as affetto, which has a different cultural connotation. Our Italian colleagues identified two words in Italian that conveyed meaning similar to our definition of affection. These types of small but meaningful changes were needed for most translations.

Efforts are ongoing for cultural adaptations, examining reliability and validity with translated versions within specific cultures and countries, and for cross-cultural validations, comparing findings across countries. To facilitate this work, we have established an international group of researchers that meets regularly. This group includes those working on translations as well as those using translated measures in new research. Some are using their translated versions in intervention practice (Germany, Italy) and building evidence through that process. For other researchers, cultural adaptation and cross-cultural validation has been occurring together using the United States data as a comparison. Studies in Turkey (Bayoglu et al., 2013), Brazil, and China...
have taken this route; while other researchers in Brazil are using the PICCOLO Portuguese translation in a larger intervention study. Chile and Spain have each done their own translations, made and examined cultural adaptations, and are now looking at cross-cultural comparisons that also include U.S. data (Farkas, Innocenti, et al., 2017). PICCOLO cultural adaptation research has validated the use of the PICCOLO in other countries, with cross-cultural comparisons showing only minor differences between countries, mostly at the item level. For those providing infant mental health interventions in different countries, this adaptation process allows a better understanding of specific parenting items within the domains so that interventions can be provided in the appropriate cultural context. Translations that attend to cultural meaning provide language to describe parenting behaviors that will be understood by parents in the cultural context. Being able to observe and identify parenting behaviors that are positive, responsive, and supportive helps practitioners guide families to use these strengths to support children’s early development and well-being.

As developers of the PICCOLO, we have been able to work internationally with many excellent researchers to better understand parent–child interaction. We have developed a process for translating PICCOLO and established an international working group using a common outcome measure that grows our knowledge of developmentally supportive parent–child interactions across the globe. This process allows interventionists in different countries to be confident in the use of the measure and supports researchers using the tool in evaluation and in developmental research. Our approach could facilitate the process for sharing other measures across the infant mental health field.

Mark S. Innocenti, PhD, is director of the Research, Evaluation & Training Division at the Center for Persons With Disabilities, a University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities, and an associate research professor in psychology at Utah State University. Mark has 40 years of experience working with infants and young children at-risk and with disabilities and their families through multiple research and model demonstration projects. He has examined areas such as social interaction, child transition, naturalistic intervention, parent–child interaction, and service system effectiveness. More recently, he has focused on various aspects of home visiting and preschool intervention services. Mark is an author of Developmental Parenting: A Guide for Early Childhood Practitioners, the PICCOLO (Parenting Interactions With Children: Checklist of Observations Linked to Outcomes) parent–child interaction observation measure, and the HOVRS (Home Visit Rating Scales) an observational measure of home visiting practices. Mark is a member of the ZERO TO THREE Academy and was a ZERO TO THREE Fellow in 1999–2001.

Lori Roggman, PhD, is a professor of human development at Utah State University, in the Department of Human Development and Family Studies. Lori began her career as a home visitor, focused on promoting parent–child interactions that support children’s early development. After completing a doctorate in developmental psychology at the University of Texas, she launched a research career studying parenting support of their children’s early development and effective practices to promote parenting in home visiting programs. She is the lead author of Developmental Parenting: A Guide for Early Childhood Practitioners and the lead developer of the Parenting Interactions With Children Checklist of Observations Linked to Outcomes (PICCOLO), and the Home Visit Rating Scales (HOVRS). She provides consulting and training to improve home visiting quality in the US and internationally.
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High-Impact Learning in Infant Mental Health Through Global Engagement

**Jennifer Willford, Robert Gallen, and Maria Crist**

In May 2016, 26 students participated in an upper level psychology course collaboratively taught by faculty at Slippery Rock University and Chatham University in Pennsylvania. Taught as a hybrid course, time was divided between online learning and field visits in Pittsburgh, PA. Online modules were designed to increase knowledge in (a) understanding the global refugee crisis and international policy—especially with regard to their impact on very young children; (b) foundations of infant mental health (IMH); (c) brain development; (d) stress, resilience, and coping in the young child; and (e) trauma assessment and treatment. Students also completed training in Psychological First Aid through the National Childhood Traumatic Stress Network (n.d.).

Additional learning activities were developed to provide students with foundational knowledge and observational experiences needed to benefit from the academic and cultural activities that were planned for them while studying in Pittsburgh and abroad. For example, students observed supervised parenting and play visits between noncustodial parents and their children to observe parent—child interactions under stressful circumstances. Students learned about Child Parent Psychotherapy (Lieberman, Ghosh Ippen, & Van Horn, 2015) and role played Parent—Child Interaction Therapy (McNeil & Hembree-Kigin, 2010) at an intensive therapeutic support center for young children with or at risk for psychiatric disorders or other developmental problems. Finally, students received cultural diversity training and met with refugees who had resettled in Pittsburgh through a local refugee resettlement program. During these meetings, students learned about the refugees' childhood experiences.

Students reported that they were most affected by what they learned about the impact of ongoing war and conflict on child development as explained by scientists, practitioners, and young adults who described their experience living through war.

Following training in the U.S., students traveled to Prague, Czech Republic, and then to London, United Kingdom, where they engaged in both academic and cultural experiences. In Prague, students attended the World Association for Infant...
Mental Health (WAIMH) Congress. Each student was required to meet and have a conversation with someone from another country each day. Group reflective meetings occurred before leaving the conference each day. The class also visited the concentration camp in Terezin, Czech Republic, where they learned of the atrocities that occurred there, as well as how children used painting, music, and poetry to cope under great duress. In London, visits to the Foundling Museum and the Freud Museum each provided students with historical contexts in which to understand the ongoing and pervasive impact of world conflict on young children and families, and the emergence of interventions to help. At the Bowlby Centre, students engaged in lectures by local therapists who described their use of modern IMH interventions in their work with refugee and traumatized children. Students were able to reflect on similarities and differences between approaches at home and overseas.

The impact of the course and study abroad experience on students’ ability to function effectively in situations characterized by cultural diversity was assessed using the Cultural Intelligence Scale (Cultural Intelligence Center, 2015). The scale consists of 20 questions that assess function in four domains: strategy assesses how a person makes sense of their intercultural experiences; knowledge assesses a person’s understanding of how cultures are similar and different; motivation assesses a person’s interest in and intrinsic value people place on culturally diverse interactions, and behavior assesses a person’s capability to adapt their verbal and nonverbal behavior for different cultures. The assessment data showed a significant increase in both the strategy and motivation domains from pre- to post-trip. The study abroad experience had a positive impact on cultural knowledge and sensitivity and on cross-cultural skills.

The development of global and cultural competence depends on participant access to a systematic process of reflective challenge and support (Vande Berg, Paige, & Lou, 2012). The Experiential Learning Theory model (Kolb, 1984) was implemented to enhance student learning experiences and outcomes. In Experiential Learning Theory, learning depends on the educator and learners’ shared ability to reflect on the meaning-making process that occurs through direct experience. Learning and personal growth is considered to be a function of concrete experience, reflection, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Through writing and group discussion, students reflected on their experiences by answering the following questions: (1) What did I learn? (2) How, specifically, did I learn it? (3) Why does this learning matter, or why is it significant? and (4) In what ways will I use this learning? The following quotations present student’s reflections on their experiences.

I attended several presentations with different topics from researchers all over the world. One of my favorite presentations was Suzi Tortora’s presentation on lullabies. All cultures have lullabies that they use to soothe children, and a lot of them are similar; they have similar tempos, keys, and even themes. These lullabies are important in helping the child to soothe and also to form close, positive attachment relationships with caregivers. (Student reflection on WAIMH)

I learned that children are capable of processing mature events around them through a linguistic and artistic outlet. One of the boys assimilates his future to a “little garden” because he knows that he only has a short time left on this earth. Children, such as this boy, are sponges. I was previously aware of adolescents and adults communicating through other mediums; however, this primary experience confirmed children’s abilities to consciously or unconsciously share their traumatic experiences. I came to this conclusion based on the visual and the multitude of expressions in the museum, such as literature and artwork. Organic items exposing insight into minds of children helps grasp the childhood mind and experiences of trauma. (Student reflection on Terezin Concentration Camp)

I think this information would be useful in my future in art therapy because it’s one of the most extreme cases of hatred in history, and the survivors managed to create art out of such a dark time. It’s almost the same in all situations, art can bring us back up when we are feeling down because creating beautiful things can give us the hope we need to survive. (Student reflection on Terezin Concentration Camp)

Student reflections demonstrated the high impact of a study abroad experience with content and experiences specific to IMH. In reflection of their experience at the WAIMH Congress, students reported that they were most affected by what they learned about the impact of ongoing war and conflict on child development as explained by scientists, practitioners, and young adults who described their experience living through war. Students were engaged in experiential and reflective
learning activities to deepen their understanding and ability to apply learning. Furthermore, the coursework and academic experiences provided students who would normally not learn about IMH to consider the importance of the field. A number of students indicated that their career choices had been influenced as a result of participating in the course. And one student, Maria Crist (2017), a dual major in psychology and dance, choreographed her final dance project as a representation of the traumatic experience of grief and loss a child experiences during times of war. She completed a senior research project focused on how dance and movement can impact posttraumatic growth in children who are surrounded by war. She referenced the workshops from the WAIMH Congress in Prague and focused on what she learned about the importance of child attachment to caregivers as the determinant for posttraumatic growth. She also highlighted how differing countries use the practice of dance and movement within their cultures. Next year, Crist plans to continue her education by pursuing a social work graduate program that integrates trauma-informed and human-rights perspectives in the hopes that she may be a voice for children who do not have a voice of their own.

Robert T. Gallen, PhD, is a licenced clinical psychologist and professor of practice at the University of Pittsburgh where he coordinates the master of science in applied developmental psychology program. He was founding president of the Pennsylvania Association for Infant Mental Health and is a fellow in the ZERO TO THREE Academy. He teaches courses in infant mental health and evidence-based practices.

Jennifer Willford, PhD, is an associate professor of psychology at Slippery Rock University. She is the program director for neuroscience and pre-professional studies in the department, as well as core faculty for the honors developmental psychology program. Her research, for the past 20 years, has focused on the impact of prenatal drug exposure on the development of the brain and cognitive behavior. She teaches courses in research methodology, clinical neuroscience, developmental neuroscience, and drugs and behavior.

Maria Crist currently works with children and young adults who have autism spectrum disorder and other intellectual disabilities at The Summit Center in Buffalo, New York. As a behavioral support technician, she collects data on challenging behaviors and trains staff on individual behavior intervention plans. Graduating from Slippery Rock University in 2017 with a bachelor’s degree in psychology and dance, she was awarded Most Outstanding Research for her senior synthesis which focused on dance and movement therapy as a means of posttraumatic growth for children of war. She hopes to further her education in social work and dance/movement therapy.
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Knitting an International Network in the Infancy and Early Childhood Field: International Perspectives of the ZERO TO THREE Fellowship 2016–2018
Rochelle Matacz and Yiğit Aksakoğlu

The ZERO TO THREE Fellowship Program seeks to nurture and support diverse professionals from multiple disciplines and sectors to be “change agents” to transform and advance programs, systems, and policies that impact the lives of infants, toddlers, and their families. The fellowship has cultivated a learning opportunity in which professionals in the infant–early childhood field come together to share their visions, current work in the field, and professional and personal experiences.

Most important, the process over the last 18 months provided a dedicated space, repeated over time, to reflect on how all these aspects intersect within ourselves and with each other. It created a unique group experience in which there was a meeting of diverse minds and subsequent growth in unanticipated ways.

Coming from Turkey and Western Australia, in contrast to the majority of participants who come from the United States, the
fellowship experience was distinctive in that we reflected on our own countries’ systems whilst learning about American practices. This international perspective placed us in a position to inquire, compare, and contrast throughout this journey about the differences and similarities that exist between the Turkish, Australian, and American systems of care for infants, young children, and their families.

One of the striking differences is the different health care systems. In contrast to the United States, where health care is largely obtained and paid for through the private sector, Australia and Turkey have a universal health care system that guarantees all citizens (and some overseas visitors) access to a wide range of health services at little to no cost.

As a developed country, Australia has a health care model that is considered one of the most efficient in the world and delivers above average health outcomes when compared internationally. However, Medicare (Australia’s universal health care scheme) continues to fail the Australian indigenous people who have significantly lower life expectancy rates when compared to other Australians.

As a developed country, Australia has a health care model that is considered one of the most efficient in the world and delivers above average health outcomes when compared internationally. However, Medicare (Australia’s universal health care scheme) continues to fail the Australian indigenous people who have significantly lower life expectancy rates when compared to other Australians.

As a middle-income, developing country Turkey has been able to make some progress especially in pregnancy-, infancy-, and child-related indicators. However, there is still an important need to improve access to mental and preventive health services to all members of a family. In contrast to the USA, Turkey has a very centralized system of service provision which may not respond easily to the developing needs and problems of its growing population.

The fellowship experience also highlighted for us not just the differences among our countries but also the presence of universal struggles such as the desire for a more integrated, cohesive health care system for infants, young children, and their families. In Western Australia, this goal is being addressed through an applied research project that is underpinned by a collective impact and network approach. The Edith Cowan University Better Together: Perinatal and Infant Mental Health (PIMH) Services project is focused on improving the perinatal and infant early childhood system of care in the cities of Wanneroo and Joondalup. It aims to do this through weaving social ties, creating our understanding of the system through incorporating new and diverse perspectives, building infrastructure through extensive engagement, and involving families and their perspectives from the outset. The overall long-term aim is to bring about a systemic, enduring change that overcomes fragmentation in the system and mobilizes action. To date some of the key focus areas include:

1. **Shifting the definition of infant–early childhood well-being to include conception and pregnancy.** This change begins to bridge the gap between perinatal and infant–early childhood mental health services, which in Western Australia function separately: the identified client is either the mother or infant/child, and the developing relationship is not formally included.

2. **Developing an Advisory Group which comprises key stakeholders across the continuum of care and community members.** The purpose of this group is to guide and help with all stages of the project from developing aspects of the research design through to disseminating the findings. This group has grown over time and includes representatives from economics, education, health, mental health, disability, and primary health care as well as a broader membership that encompasses groups such as pharmacists, real-estate developers, and representatives from local parliament.

3. **Developing a more comprehensive way of understanding the PIMH system through using a social network analysis (SNA).** The project uses the PARTNER Tool (Center on Network Science, 2017) to assess the levels of collaboration, trust, and engagement with those agencies identified in the PIMH system of care. The SNA will provide a measure of how clear members feel about their roles in the PIMH network (the diverse range of agencies in the PIMH system). This is a crucial first step as the SNA will facilitate a broad and deep understanding of how the current system functions.

As for Turkey, although education, health, and welfare services are provided by the central government, local governments and municipalities are also increasingly providing social services reaching many beneficiaries. Bernard van Leer Foundation’s new strategy is based on urban settings where more and more children are born and raised. Urban95, as the new strategy called, is asking decision makers at the city level, urban planners, and others to look at urban settings from 95 cm (3 feet)—the average height of a 3 year-old. Urban95 is rooted in the belief that when urban neighborhoods work well
for pregnant women, babies, toddlers, and young children, they also tend to nurture strong communities and economic development. With 10 pioneering cities around the world, Urban95 is piloting and scaling up cost-effective innovations in areas such as green public spaces, mobility for families, data-driven decision making, and parent coaching. Based on Urban95, the new strategy of the Foundation in Turkey is focused on strengthening the capacities of local authorities in three different components:

1. **Providing tools for data-driven decision making:** On the basis of the analysis of neighborhood-level existing data, maps of districts and Istanbul could be developed to identify where the most disadvantaged children are.  

2. **Parent support:** Providing home visitation services for the most disadvantaged families within four districts of Istanbul as a pilot before scaling up. Home visits will be provided every 2 weeks for 36 months and will cover topics such as care, nutrition, coping with stress, and child and brain development.

3. **Developing capacities of municipalities to provide green public spaces:** This component mainly covers transforming existing physical spaces into places for young children to play and explore nature, and for their caregivers to meet and rest.  

Istanbul95, as the program is called in Turkey, has 15 partners, which includes Bogazici and Kadir Has Universities; Beyoglu, Maltepe, Sariyer, and Sultanbeyli district municipalities; Turkish Economic and Social Studies Foundation; Studio X of Columbia University; and companies to provide technical support.

We are witnessing a revolution in relation to changes in our understanding of how our brains are developing. There is also an increased interest from the international organizations, as well as governments to provide solutions, policies, and programs that reflect the changing needs of families, infants and young children. It is an opportune time to push for more investment and changes from our societies, governments, private sector, universities, and others.

The fellowship amplified this view and gave a feeling of solidarity beyond borders to go further and faster in the field of infant and early childhood. In moving our visions forward, we are now more intentional about the need to continue collaborating and learning from each other. Broadening our network and contrasting experiences across the globe will only make us better equipped in responding to the challenges that infants, young children, and their families face.

To solve the complex challenges in a rapidly changing field there are no quick fixes. Rather, those leading need to hold diverse perspectives, develop creative solutions, and expand the collective outlook, drawing on global as well as national perspectives. In turn such perspectives need to be adapted to meet the settings of local communities. The fellowship experience created a fundamental shift in how we think and act together as a collective. More opportunities for international collaborations will increase the potential for meaningful, lasting solutions benefiting the youngest and most vulnerable children across the globe.

---

1. [http://belediye.istanbul95.org](http://belediye.istanbul95.org)
2. [https://istanbul95.org/ebeveynler-plus](https://istanbul95.org/ebeveynler-plus)
3. [https://istanbul95.org/yesil-alanlar-parklar](https://istanbul95.org/yesil-alanlar-parklar)

---

**Rochelle Matacz, MCP,** is a ZERO TO THREE Fellow. She is currently manager and clinical supervisor of the Edith Cowan University Pregnancy to Parenthood Clinic and manager of the university’s Better Together project. Ms. Matacz was president of the Australian Association for Infant Mental Health Incorporated, West Australian Branch (AAIMHI WA) from 2014 to 2017, in which she has had a leading role in the implementation of the AAIMHI WA Competency Guidelines and Endorsement for Culturally-Sensitive, Relationship-Focused Practice Promoting Infant Mental Health®. AAIMHI WA is leading the way internationally as the first Affiliate of the World Association for Infant Mental Health (WAIMH) outside the USA to have a licensing agreement to use the Michigan Association for Infant Mental Health (MI-AIMH) Competency Guidelines and Endorsement system®. Ms. Matacz provides reflective supervision and IMH training to a range of agencies working with infants, young children, and their families. Her clinical work in perinatal and infant mental health has focused on developing and implementing community and hospital-based services with vulnerable families. She lived and worked in Ireland from 2003–2013, where she received the WAIMH Award in 2012 in recognition of her significant contributions in establishing and promoting IMH in Ireland. Ms. Matacz co-founded the Irish Association for Infant Mental Health (IAIMH) in 2009. She was also responsible for developing IMH community-based therapeutic services and co-created Ireland’s first interdisciplinary training model (IMH Network Group) for building workforce capacity in IMH. She holds a masters of clinical psychology and was endorsed as an IMH Specialist (IMH-E®) in 2006.

**Yiğit Aksakoğlu, MS,** is a ZERO TO THREE Fellow. He is the country representative for Turkey with the Bernard van Leer Foundation. Mr. Aksakoğlu is committed to bringing early childhood to national attention in Turkey—with a focus on analyzing data, measuring the effectiveness of interventions, and championing the needs of young children. His current work with the Bernard van Leer Foundation involves supporting municipalities in Istanbul to provide services for children from birth to 3 years old and creating awareness of the importance of early childhood among different stakeholders. In the past, he has worked with think-tanks and universities. Mr. Aksakoğlu
Optimal development of infants occurs in the context of consistent and warm responsiveness from primary caregivers who are attuned to their needs. In orphanage environments, however, there are often multiple caregivers who are responsible for a number of infants, with few resources, and often fewer opportunities for training in how to promote the development of infants and young children. In these environments, children’s physical needs are met at some level, although not on the infant’s timeline, as there are many mouths to feed and diapers to change. Noncontingent responsiveness is the status quo in many (although not all) of these institutional settings, brought about not only by the physical demands of caregiving, but also by the emotional toll that the stress of the environment causes.

Research (see Zeanah, Fox, & Nelson, this issue, p. 60) has clearly demonstrated the deleterious effects of institutionalization and that children do best when cared for in family homes. However, because of the challenges in finding appropriate homes for all the children in need, efforts to support the staff members who work in orphanages can help increase the level of nurturing care. I have conducted training and intervention with staff and volunteers in orphanages located in Ecuador, Haiti, India, and Russia. I have seen the power of collaboration with orphanage staff, who often have high dedication to the infants in their care but little education, support, and training in best practices to promote optimal development and are working in high-stress environments with few resources. Simple interventions with caregivers can positively impact the ongoing practices in orphanages, as caregivers are committed to the infants in their care and strive to provide the best care possible.

When I went to India to train caregivers in responsive caregiving based on infants’ physical, cognitive, social, and language needs, I saw many typical behaviors that I’ve seen in orphanages in other countries. Caregivers cared for children following the adults’ schedule, not the babies’. The babies were fed at specific times, not according to when they were hungry. They were picked up to have their “nappies” changed, not when they cried in distress; infants often did not know why they were being picked up. Babies’ emotional needs were not recognized at all. Babies were occasionally talked to and picked up for short bouts of playing, but there was no recognition that babies’ emotional needs are primary and that if they are not cared for, the babies’ emotional health could be at risk in
the future. In fact, when asked whether babies feel emotions, the caregivers unanimously said “no.” The science of early development was slow to arrive and was not informing the policies and practices of the institution.

Through experiential exercises, the caregivers learned to understand that babies not only have emotions, but that part of the caregiver’s job is to respond to those emotions. When they watched a 2-week-old baby respond to a caregiver’s voice, they understood further. When they told me that babies don’t like to be sung to and then saw two 14-month-old babies stop what they were doing and watch me the entire time I sang “I had a little turtle,” they understood a little more. When they watched how babies would stop crying and respond to social interaction when they were picked up, they understood even more.

But they did a lot more than understand—they began to change their interactions and respond in new ways. I watched a woman who had a baby in her lap stick out her tongue as we were learning about babies’ imitation abilities—and the baby stuck out her tongue in return! One woman was carrying a 2-month-old high-need baby whom the staff had entirely avoided before—and he was content as could be as he observed her face and interacted with her. Another woman was practicing walking with a 16½ month-old baby who had never been encouraged to walk and so didn’t. Another woman had a small baby in her lap while she rocked another baby in a pumpkin seat and played with another baby with a toy. Yes, indeed, these women took the little bit of knowledge that we had constructed together—by observing, listening, sharing our experiences—and they expanded it, refined it, and put it into practice as if they’d been doing it their entire lives.

I had more full participation, honest searching, and spirited debate in my time with the caregivers in India than I have had in any other learning situation in my life. What I learned is that when we share a little of our knowledge as we observe infants and begin to develop new knowledge with others, the outcome can be very powerful. I watched the orphanage go from a mechanical, schedule-oriented facility to one that focused on babies’ physical and emotional needs and cues. The caregivers started talking and giving consistent signals to babies to help develop predictability about what was about to happen. The outcome was that the babies were much happier, more interactive, and showed a lot less crying. On the day I left India, I snuck into our training room early in the morning, and I heard the babies start to wake in the next room. I then got to witness one of the most beautiful sounds I have ever heard. As the babies began to wake up and cry, a caregiver began to sing and hum a beautiful hymn to calm them. The babies stopped crying so they too could hear the beauty and calm in her voice. I felt such peace and love, and so much power from the meeting of our minds and concern for the babies. I knew the babies were in good hands.

Vonda Jump Norman, PhD, is an assistant professor in social work at the Brigham City Campus of Utah State University and project director for the Trauma Resiliency Project at The Family Place in Logan, Utah. She is interested in promoting the optimal mental health of parents and young children, and she promotes preventive interventions whenever possible. She is interested in systems of care affecting infants in orphanages, parent–child relationships during the first several years of a child’s life, and the intersection of physical and mental health, especially for vulnerable families. She has developed and implemented successful interventions and a new curriculum with severely neglected children in orphanages in Ecuador, Haiti, and India; developed and implemented trainings with programs working with vulnerable at-risk families; and was a consultant and trainer for ZERO TO THREE’s Duty to Care training for military families experiencing grief, trauma, and loss. She is a member of the ZERO TO THREE Academy and was a Fellow in 2003–2005. She is past president for the Utah Association for Infant Mental Health.
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Perinatal Mental Health Screening and Consultation Model for Refugee Women and Their Infants

Saskia von Overbeck Ottino, Christina Moses Passini, and Daniel S. Schechter

According to the statistics of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (2016), 65.6 million people have been forcibly displaced worldwide, including 22.5 million refugees, 10 million stateless individuals, and 189,300 refugees who have been officially resettled. In 2015, most (i.e., 8 million people) came from two war-torn countries: Syria (5.5 million) and Afghanistan (2.5 million). European Union countries plus Norway and Switzerland received a record 1.3 million refugees in 2015, accounting for about 1 in 10 of the region’s asylum applications since 1985. About half of refugees in 2015 trace their origins to just three countries: Syria, Afghanistan, and Iraq (Pew Research Center, 2016).

In Switzerland, where we work, there was a peak increase of asylum flows in 2015, with 39,523 (65% increase) applications. Applicants were mainly from Eritrea (9,966), Afghanistan (7,831), and Syria (4,745). A large proportion of the asylum seekers were women (one third) and minors (one third; Federal Department of Justice and Police, 2017). In 2016, a downturn was observed with 27,207, asylum applications. They were still mainly from Eritrea (5178), Afghanistan (3,229), and Syria (2,144), with a strong increase of the number of women and minors. Indeed, in Switzerland there were about 3,153 births by asylum seekers in 2016.

For women seeking asylum from countries in turmoil or ravaged by war like Afghanistan, Eritrea, and Syria, the peripartum period is one of significant risk for them and their infants. Moreover, 42% of these women experience maternal depression, and their children experience elevated rates of attachment disorders and developmental disturbances (Collins, Zimmerman, & Howard, 2011; Kirmayer et al., 2011; Stewart, Gagnon, Soucier, Wahoush, & Dougherty, 2008).

Prior adverse events such as traumatic migration, family separations, the loss of cultural and linguistic frames of reference, and the physical and psychological challenges related to seeking asylum are all risk factors that negatively impact refugee mental health. As many as 60% of individuals (a conservative estimate) in this population report significant trauma and suffer from related psychopathology even before becoming pregnant: posttraumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and depressive disorders (Abbott 2016; Bhugra et al., 2011; Robjant, Hassan, & Katona, 2009). The duration of the “asylum procedure” (i.e., the administrative process of obtaining refugee status in the host country) also correlates with a deterioration of mental health (Kalverboer, Zijlstra, & Knorth, 2009).

Violence experienced before, during, and after migration can imperil the parental couple’s and family’s functioning, thus weakening the baby-to-be’s needed extra- and intrapsychic protective shield (Schechter et al., 2017). The refugee can thus experience excessive stress as reflected in dysregulation of her and her baby’s stress physiology such as has been observed among domestic-violence exposed women, before and after she gives birth (Cordero et al., 2017). She can feel isolated and confused by the pressure and desire to assimilate with fear of losing her ethnic identity and of betraying a given moral code (von Overbeck Ottino, 2011). Even worse, language barriers and lack of familiarity with the health care system can hinder parents from seeking help as can other factors such as culture-bound fears and the power of stigma (Premand et al., in press).

With these factors in mind, we turn to our clinical population in Geneva, Switzerland. While precise statistics are thus far lacking in Geneva-city, we know in Switzerland there were 15,759 women who applied for asylum in 2016, of whom 50% were of child-bearing age. Among the asylum seekers were 3,484 (9.4%) children under 4 years old (Federal Department of Justice and Police, 2017). What we do know about the refugee population in Geneva is that 230 babies were born to refugee-status mothers in 2017 at the Maternity Hospital of the Geneva University Hospitals. These mothers come from diverse countries including Afghanistan, Eritrea, Iraq, Nigeria, and Syria among others. In these very complex situations, presenting psychiatric symptoms often affect not just the mother but also other members of the family (i.e., her partner, her children, etc.).

To address the needs of this vulnerable population, the Geneva University Hospitals offer a systematic screening and consultation model for behavioral and emotional difficulties. During routine pregnancy follow-up, obstetric providers identify
expecting refugee mothers (i.e., via their visa/insurance status) and refer them to us as part of their interdisciplinary obstetrics team, the latter practice overcoming many intrinsic barriers to help-seeking among these vulnerable families. Already marginalized, refugees who come from the Middle East, North Africa, and Sub-Saharan Africa are often unfamiliar with Switzerland languages (French, German, and Italian) and culture, lack knowledge of the health care and mental health services, and are illiterates or poorly educated. Some young refugee mothers enter as unaccompanied minors, often without proper identification and documentation. They and others have fears of being identified as unsuited to remain in the host country or of having their baby removed by child protective services. Using trained community interpreters, we evaluate these women and their partners during the 1st or 2nd trimester and orient them, when indicated, to further mental health services.

In addition to a routine psychological evaluation of the mother and her immediate family members’ mental health, we examine (a) the mother’s life in her country of origin, including her traumatic life-events and their consequences; (b) the quality of existing relationships with extended family; (c) transcultural representations of the family constellation, pregnancy, newborn care (e.g., mothering practices, protection rituals); and (d) the potential impact of cultural differences between the mother and health professionals.

There are three possible outcomes of our evaluation: (1) No indication for referral, (2) re-evaluation within several weeks, or (3) immediate referral to adult psychiatry and/or to child and adolescent psychiatry. Cases involving significant risk to self, or to others, or both are reviewed regularly by a high-risk prenatal multidisciplinary work-group (consisting of obstetricians, midwives, social workers, neonatologists, and adult and child psychiatrists/psychologists) in order to establish a coordinated treatment plan. This preventive intervention by evaluation program—having been established by the Geneva University Hospitals with support from the Cantonal Department of Health in Geneva in 2015, has assessed nearly 300 families to date. We know that a number of the refugee families with mental health needs during the peripartum period would not have been assessed or had access to mental health treatment by a mental health professional without this program—let alone a mental health professional with specific training in transcultural and trauma-related issues who arranges visits assisted by a trained community translator. The families that have been seen by us are the lucky ones. There are many more across Europe and other continents who face similar challenges yet to be seen, heard, and helped.

Saskia von Overbeck Ottino, MD, is a child and adolescent psychiatrist and psychoanalyst (IPA) at the Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland. She heads the Migrant Mental Health Network. She serves on the review board of the journal l’Autre: cliniques, cultures et sociétés (The Other: Clinical work, cultures and societies) and is chair of the forum Psychoanalysis and Muslim Backgrounds of the European Federation of Psychoanalysis. She has worked for 30 years with migrant families, particularly on conscious and unconscious reactions of professionals to working with these families.

Christina Moses Passini, PhD, is a psychologist and a family therapist at the Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland. She is a member of the Migrant Mental Health Network which evaluates, coordinates, and provides mental health support services for refugee children and their families in Geneva.

Daniel S. Schechter, MD, is a child and adolescent psychiatrist, developmental neuroscience researcher, and psychoanalyst (IPA). Dr. Schechter is a member of the ZERO TO THREE Academy of Fellows. As Barakett Associate Professor of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, he currently directs the Stress, Trauma and Resilience Research Program and Perinatal and Early Childhood Mental Health Services at New York University School of Medicine and NYU Langone Health, and also directs the Geneva Early Childhood Stress Project at the Geneva University Faculty of Medicine, Switzerland.

References


---

**UNICEF Home Visiting Resource Modules: A New Resource for Everybody**

Jon Korfmacher

Globally, a focus on the importance of early childhood has taken on increased policy relevance. The first “1,000 days” are now viewed as a key determinant of a child’s physical, social, intellectual, and emotional health and well-being. How communities support their youngest citizens through integrated services has widespread implications for how different nations can sustain themselves in the future.

The Right of Every Young Child to Comprehensive Development and Wellbeing initiative was launched by the UNICEF Regional Office for Europe and Central Asia (ECA) in 2012 to address inequities in the health, development, care, and protection of young children in the region, which encompasses 21 countries, most created from the break-up of the Soviet Union and the former Republic of Yugoslavia. One approach with great potential in the region is home visiting. Universal home visiting services to families of newborns and young children is provided in most countries in the region by a community nurse or health worker. Overall, however, the quality of home visiting is reported to be low and too narrowly focused on medical and basic health conditions. There is an identified need for enhanced home visiting services, with a more holistic view of child health and well-being and incorporation of elements of family support, child development guidance, and positive parenting practices. Providers are lacking knowledge, skills, and tools for the early identification of risks and needs, including children with developmental difficulties and children maltreated or exposed to violence, and to assist families to secure early intervention and other needed social services.
How to best support the home visitors to transform their practice? The UNICEF ECA Regional Office has supported multiple efforts by countries to engage in health home visiting reforms during this period at the policy and practice levels. Perhaps the most promising element of support are the Resource Modules for Home Visitors: Supporting Families for Nurturing Care. UNICEF, in partnership with the International Step by Step Association (ISSA), developed these modules to enhance the role of home visiting personnel by addressing topics not routinely covered in pre-service or in-service nursing and medical education in the region. An initial group of international consultants and expert trainers, led by Bettina Schwethelm (UNICEF), and Zorica Trikic (ISSA) developed the preliminary set of 15 modules and revised them over multiple regional meetings, often in conjunction with home visitors and other service professionals. The Resource Modules have been used in training of master trainers who have taken the modules back to their countries to create cadres of national trainers and disseminate the information to home visitors and other service providers within their country. Now freely available on the Internet, the Resource Modules are open to anybody who wants to use and adapt them. They are developed with a strengths-based, family-centered orientation to practice, using evidence-informed approaches, providing both knowledge and skill development in important areas relevant to home visiting. Table 1 lists the modules available.

The Resource Modules have been used to prepare more than 40 trainers in 15 countries across the region and have been translated into seven languages: Albanian, Georgian, Kazakh, Russian, Serbo-Croatian-Bosnian, Turkmen, and Uzbek. The trainers represent a variety of disciplines, from nurse home visitors to psychologists, physicians, social workers, and higher education faculty.

What makes the Resource Modules innovative?

1. UNICEF has deliberately made them available as a living and evolving document. They are open to be translated and adapted to the local context of the country, with encouragement for master trainers to select the modules most relevant to their needs and to create country examples and short demonstrative videos in the national language(s).

2. They are flexible, with the ability to be incorporated into higher education requirements, or to be used as in-service training for home visitors as part of their professional development.

3. They appeal to many types of professionals in the early childhood field. Although originally developed for home visitors, trainings have been opened to other service providers, with participant surveys suggesting that doing so has fueled efforts at collaboration. Most countries intend to use the modules beyond training for home visitors, extending outreach to physicians, social workers, educators, and other community health workers.

4. The modules and the training approach has been focused specifically on adult learning principles designed to increase adherence to the content and structure of the modules. For example, the use of second person ("you") personalizes the content. Reflective questions,
thought exercises, active learning exercise, and short quizzes are embedded throughout each module to help reinforce the learning. All of this was designed to create a “parallel process” so that training reflects the way that home visitors are to be with families, reinforcing the strength-based, family-centered orientation of the content.

Feedback from the trainings has been extremely positive, with many participants reporting that the training acts as a transformative experience for them. Many noted the value in collaboration that came from the training focus, as noted by these quotes from training participants:

- Work in international teams have shown that only if we work together can achieve our mutual goals.
- It was the perfect cocktail of knowledge, skills, collaboration, and fun.
- I would recommend the training to all professionals who work with children.

Still to be examined is how the Resource Modules are actually being implemented at a country level over time and how their use is influencing home visitor practice. UNICEF Country Office surveys suggest that the modules are being rolled out into country health systems, but with wide variation in use. In addition, there is a need to ensure that the trainings at the local level are happening at high quality and in fidelity to the process.

Overall, the use of the modules is still an emerging strategy. Home visiting workforce development still has a considerable distance to travel in this region. The modules are a promising mechanism to promote a more skilled workforce, but by themselves they cannot address structural and systemic challenges that continue to be seen in the region, such as low pay, the lack of viable professional accreditation systems, a reduced workforce, and over-burdened staff. Many of these struggles, however, are common in other areas of the world. It is through global sharing of successes and challenges that we can move the field forward.

Jon Korfmacher, PhD, is an associate professor at Erikson Institute in Chicago and a graduate Fellow of ZERO TO THREE. His work focuses on studying the implementation and delivery of home visiting and other early childhood services, with an emphasis on workforce development and training. He is a member of the management team for the Home Visiting Applied Research Collaborative (HARC; see hvresearch.org) and a member of the Europe and Central Asia (ECA) Home Visiting Technical Advisory Group. Thanks to Deepa Grover (UNICEF ECA Regional Office), Bettina Schwethelm (UNICEF Consultant), and Zorica Trikic (ISSA) for sharing the work of the Resource Module development.

Preparing Practitioners to Support Young Children With Special Needs in Global Early Childhood Rehabilitation Contexts

Mansha Mirza and Mary A. Khetani

Global demographic trends and international conventions provide an important opportunity for rehabilitation interventions to promote young children’s full participation in their homes and communities and to provide opportunities for inclusion and early childhood development (Britto et al., 2017). In this commentary, we describe our efforts and lessons learned when preparing an occupational therapy workforce that is equipped to advance the role of rehabilitation in a global early childhood context.

The global under-5 mortality rate has declined by 53% in the last 25 years (You et al., 2015). This dramatic decline is largely attributed to worldwide public health campaigns targeting health and nutrition of women, infants, and children (Scherzer, Chhagan, Kauchali, & Susser, 2012). Declining mortality rates among infants and young children are accompanied by growing rates of developmental delays and disabilities among survivors, especially in low- and middle-income countries (Scherzer et al., 2012). This demographic trend has prompted calls to integrate early childhood development programs with existing maternal–child health and nutrition platforms (Sharma et al., 2017). A diverse workforce of rehabilitation professionals is critical for early identification of children with developmental disabilities, for supporting skill development, and for promoting their full inclusion in society.

Rehabilitation professionals use international conventions and frameworks to guide their work. According to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989), all children should have equal opportunity for full enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms, regardless of differences in ages, backgrounds, or abilities. This international mandate is reinforced by international frameworks and models that guide clinical practice. The World Health Organization (WHO) developed the International Classification on Functioning, Disability and Health (WHO, 2007) to provide common language for rehabilitation professionals working to address personal and environmental barriers to children’s participation in important life situations (e.g., meal time,

In the pre-service phase of training, US-based rehabilitation professionals need relevant knowledge of and exposure to global early childhood contexts. We have found that requisite knowledge is best acquired through a combination of comprehensive courses that cover foundational topics in global health and focused workshops that cover contextual knowledge related to particular settings of interest.

To meet this need, our entry-level occupational therapy program offers an innovative elective course for developing entry-level competencies that are essential for serving in global early childhood contexts. To date, 25 students have enrolled from various disciplines including occupational therapy, disability studies, kinesiology, and public health. This innovative course, developed by Dr. Mirza and now in its fourth year, helps students to achieve five core competencies:

1. knowledge of international conventions and frameworks that endorse the rights of all children to appropriate health care, including rehabilitation services, as well as ethical issues in global health, especially when universal human rights concepts are challenged by local cultural practices (WHO, 2015);

2. understanding of the global health system and organizations that shape global health priorities and interventions (e.g., Integrated Management of Childhood Illness program that includes training resources for health workers to monitor and promote early child development; UNICEF, 2015);

3. awareness of scholarly and programmatic advancements in the field of early childhood development. For example, The Lancet early childhood development series (2016) that includes updated evidence related to multisectoral interventions for young children and their families and features global and country-level investments in this area (WHO, 2017);

4. awareness of cross-cultural child development beliefs and practices that might shape rehabilitation assessment and intervention, including family norms, values, and beliefs related to disability, culturally relevant developmental milestones, use of indigenous treatments, and prior experiences with rehabilitation professionals (Chipps, Simpson, & Brysiewicz, 2008);

5. ability to adapt interventions to local cultural contexts and health care infrastructure, which may include easy access to specialized treatments or adaptive equipment (Scherzer et al., 2012).

Students appreciate and value the course, as illustrated by the following comments:

“This course broadens students’ perspectives on health and disability by placing these concepts in a global context and grounding them in the lived experiences of people around the world. I gained not only knowledge but also compassion and understanding.” (occupational therapy student, Class of 2016)

“In [the course] we had the opportunity to delve into the complexities of health and wellness on a global scale in a meaningful way. Through carefully selected readings, class discussions and researched debates, guest speakers and well-informed lectures, we gained a better understanding of the impact, both positive and negative, of humanitarian aid in communities around the world, and of the expertise of local groups in finding solutions to a variety of complex health issues.” (occupational therapy student, Class of 2017)

“This course helped me to understand how other countries are utilizing their resources, even if it’s limited, to help promote independent living for children with disabilities. Countries from the Global South have a lot more freedom to be creative with low-cost materials when making adaptive equipment and USA practitioners can learn from these countries on ways to improve rehabilitation in their own country. Conversely, practitioners from Global North countries can also help to develop sustainable programs in Global South countries. They have to research diligently about the culture and find a way to connect local programs to a foreign program so that eventually the local programs can take over.” (occupational therapy student, Class of 2017)
The didactic learning experiences described previously should be supplemented with experiential learning activities, whether they be through research immersions or service learning opportunities in one or more of the many diverse, underserved communities within the United States or internationally. One research immersion opportunity we offer students is through the Children’s Participation in Environment Research Lab in our department at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Qualified and committed entry-level occupational therapy students in our program can engage in the cultural adaptation of assessments to support family-engaged practice in global early childhood rehabilitation contexts. In our lab, we are engaged in several international research projects that deploy a new patient-reported outcome we developed for use by caregivers to assess their young children’s current participation in activities and their priorities for change. Several students have pursued research projects to examine what we learn from using this assessment tool, including disparities in young children’s participation in specific settings, such as an early childhood educational context (Benjamin, Lucas-Graham, Little, Davies, & Khetani, 2015). Other students have engaged caregivers to understand the cultural equivalence of the assessment content so that the tool can be adapted for use in different cultural contexts, either domestically (e.g., Hispanic families of young children with developmental disabilities and delays; Arestad, MacPhee, Lim, & Khetani, 2017) or internationally (e.g., Swedish families of young children with developmental disabilities and delays; Aström, Khetani, & Axelsson, 2017).

Through our respective efforts to date, we have gained appreciation for the level of commitment required to effectively grow rehabilitation workforce capacity specific to global early childhood practice. We have learned that there is a need for identifying qualified and interested students early and matching them with globally engaged and globally informed faculty mentors. Connections and partnerships with community and academic collaborators can further enhance immersion opportunities in local and global settings. Together, these efforts can help foster an occupational therapy workforce prepared to serve in global early childhood contexts.
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The Uthando Project: Dolls for the Children of KwaZulu-Natal

Julie Stone

"Many of Africa’s children fail to thrive, not because there is not enough food, but because they are too depressed to eat.” (Richter, 2004)

My first visit to South Africa was inspired by professor Linda Richter’s presentation of her work in Africa at the 2004 World Association for Infant Mental Health Congress in Melbourne. Africa opened up new ways of seeing and understanding the world for me. All my experience as child, arts graduate, teacher, medical student, trainee psychiatrist, consultant, Churchill Fellow, and ZERO TO THREE Fellow had been within the privilege of the “developed” world where salaries are high and human and material resources plentiful.

Hlabisa Hospital in Kwa-Zulu Natal (KZN) presented a very different picture. I went there to visit Tamsen Rochat, later a Fellow at ZERO TO THREE, and now, like her mentor Linda Richter before her, a professor at the Human Sciences Research Centre in Durban. In 2004, Tamsen was a new graduate and the first psychologist ever to work at Hlabisa. She rolled up her sleeves and worked creatively and hard.

The bare furnishings, the small number of staff, the large number of ill patients, shocked me. I understood for the first time what “under-resourced” really means. Tamsen showed me the crisis center that she and a Zulu nursing colleague had set up to assess the emotionally hurt and distressed children who were referred. I picked up the white cloth doll from among a small collection of toys Tamsen had scraped together. Tamsen said it broke her heart because almost all the children wanted to take the doll home. None of them had ever had a doll of their own.

Life is difficult for many South African families. KZN province is one of South Africa’s poorest. One in three children has one, or both, parents die before they are 5 years old. These children are not abandoned—they are likely to live with grandparents, siblings, or extended family—but in an environment of unemployment, poverty, and a very high burden of illness from HIV, AIDS, and tuberculosis, everyone is stretched beyond their limit. Children without parents face increased risk of neglect, abuse, and compromised development.

Despite the lingering inequality, and the burden of grief and loss, KZN’s Zulu people retain a proud cultural heritage and strong traditions. Attending to the needs of children is part of that tradition. In the midst of widespread anxiety about day-to-day survival and the future, however, it can be difficult to remember a child’s need for play. Some caregivers—as is true all over the world—accept the invitation to make a doll to make a difference to the life of a child living in KZN.

Since 2004 thousands of creative, generous, and industrious people, from 4 to 94 years old, living all over the world, have accepted the invitation to make a doll to make a difference to the life of a child living in KZN.
world—do not know that play is important. Others do not know how to play because in their young lives no one was available to play with them.

An International Partnership

With the blessing of Tamsen and her colleagues, I returned to Australia resolved to ask friends and relatives to make some dolls for Tamsen’s young patients at Hlabisa. Thus, the Uthando Project was born. Uthando (pronounced “u-tan-do”) means “love” in the isiZulu language of the Zulu people in the province of KZN.

Since 2004, thousands of creative, generous, and industrious people, from 4 to 94 years old, living all over the world, have accepted the invitation to make a doll to make a difference to the life of a child living in KZN. More than 30,000 hand-sewn dolls have travelled from the charity’s Perth base to Durban for distribution in KZN. Other doll makers send their creations directly. Making a doll is a simple idea that taps into and expands the playful and creative potential within all people. It also opens a space where they can reflect on and think about young children and their emotional needs.

As the project has grown, Uthando has developed robust working partnerships with a number of South African organizations working with and for communities, families, and children. Groups of Australian women have paid their own way to travel to KZN to work with the local women to make dolls. The groups share stories of their lives and, in the time-honoured tradition of women sewing together, friendships flourish.

Uthando works most closely with the organization now called dlalanathi. (Dlalanathi is an isiZulu word meaning “play with us.”) Dlalanathi’s mission is to bring hope and healing to children, their caregivers, families, and other caring community members. They introduce the notion of play for communication to communities, working in partnerships to strengthen adult–child relationships, so that safe spaces and positive care are provided. Dlalanathi use the Uthando dolls to enrich their work in many ways.

The results are profound: grandparents speak of their new ability to communicate with the children in their care. Child care workers and teachers report transformation in the behavior and attitude of children and youth, and adults come together to learn how they can be better parents.

People who have never played, or had forgotten how, find new energy and possibilities within themselves. Adults remark that not only their children benefit, but they do too. One grandmother, caring for six of her grandchildren, said she was coping better. She reported she looked forward to their return home from school so they could have fun together.

Dlalanathi and Uthando’s work honors ZERO TO THREE’s commitment to helping others to develop ways of working with and for children that facilitate play and strengthen relationships. The work also shines with the belief that everyone with compassion has something of value to offer. Everyone can develop a capacity for play and can be assisted to bring listening, reflection, and play into the everyday care of children.

Julie Stone, FRAZNCP, was a member of the 2003 class of ZERO TO THREE Fellows. She is an infant, child, and family psychiatrist and the founder and former chair of the Uthando Project Inc., Australia. She lives in Melbourne, Australia. As clinical teacher and supervisor working within the Australian public mental health service, in both urban and rural settings, the main focus in Julie’s work has been to encourage and facilitate her younger colleagues’ professional competence and confidence to build, and advocate for, the healthy social and emotional development of babies and toddlers in their work with families and communities. Julie has been an active member of Australia’s Association for Infant Mental Health and of the World Association for Infant Mental Health, and her work has been enriched by the support of other Fellows and colleagues at ZERO TO THREE.

For more information:
www.uthandoproject.org
www.dlalanathi.org.za

The Importance of Caregiver–Child Interactions for the Survival and Healthy Development of Young Children: A Review (World Health Organization)
http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/42878/1/924159134X.pdf
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The DC:0-5™: Diagnostic Classification of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood (DC:0-5) is a groundbreaking approach to understanding and diagnosing mental health disorders in infants and young children, birth through 5 years old, providing a developmental, relational, and contextual approach to diagnosis through a multiaxial classification system. ZERO TO THREE is the originator of the Diagnostic Classification of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood, having published three versions (DC:0-3, DC:0-3R, and the current DC:0-5), and is the official provider of the official DC:0-5™ Training for clinicians and advanced practitioners. Our intensive DC:0-5 Training for clinicians is copyrighted, and has been standardized nationally and world-wide to provide training fidelity. Since its release in December 2016, DC:0-5 has had tremendous impact nationally and internationally. More than 7,500 copies of the DC:0-5 manual have been sold worldwide. Currently at least 7 translations are currently being considered including French, German, Hungarian, Italian, Japanese, Korean, and Swedish.

ZERO TO THREE established a roster of DC:0-5 Expert Faculty from around the world including Guy Couturier (Netherlands), Catarina Furmark (Sweden), Miri Keren (Israel), Fiona Schulte (South Africa), and Australia (Nick Kowalenko and Lynn Priddis). Our training efforts have provided the official DC:0-5 Training to nine different countries so far: Australia, Belgium, Canada, China, Estonia, Finland, Israel, Netherlands, and South Africa. In many of the countries, we made efforts to provide translation through our expert faculty, local translators, or translation equipment, or through licensing translations of the training handout material in order to present the material in a truly meaningful way.

At the ZERO TO THREE Annual Conference 2017 in San Diego, California, a 2-day preconference DC:0-5 training for clinicians drew participants from Africa, Asia, Europe, and South America. The day before the start of the 2018 World Association of Infant Mental Health Congress in Rome, ZERO TO THREE will host an extended day training on May 25 (8:30 am–4:00 pm followed by 5:00–7:00 pm). (Registration information available at https://my.zerotothree.org/nc__Event?id=a0l1a000007er8iAAA.).

ZERO TO THREE will also provide an invited symposium during the WAIMH Congress and will present a panel presentation by Miri Keren (Israel), Nick Kowalenko (Australia), Kathleen Mulrooney (US), and Piret Visnapuu-Bernadt (Estonia) titled “DC:0-5 Training and Professional Development Support Around the World: Lessons Learned From Developers, Trainers, and Audiences.”

Learn more about the DC:0-5 and training opportunities at www.zerotothree.org/dc0-5

Kathy Mulrooney, MA, IMH-E®, serves as content director—infant and early childhood mental health for the Professional Development and Workforce Innovations department at ZERO TO THREE. In this role, she is responsible for curriculum development and delivery of training focused on infant and early childhood mental health. At ZERO TO THREE, Kathy provided consultation after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, served as a trainer and supervisor with Military Family Projects, worked with state systems through Project LAUNCH efforts, and served on the Diagnostic Classification Revision Task Force that produced the new diagnostic classification system, DC:0-5™: Diagnostic Classification of Mental Health and Developmental Disorders of Infancy and Early Childhood. Kathy is leading efforts to design and implement DC:0-5 curricula throughout the U.S. and internationally.
Reflective Supervision Builds Effective Practice

Save on Reflective Supervision Resources from ZERO TO THREE

Professionals in leadership and administrative roles will benefit from resources focused on program leadership, reflective supervision, and best practice contributing to professional resilience, quality programs, and improved outcomes.

Reflective Supervision Essentials Bundle

This must-read resource series serves as a basis for training strong and effective supervisors for infant-family programs.

This special bundle of three bestselling resources includes:

- A Practical Guide to Reflective Supervision
- Reflective Supervision and Leadership in Infant and Early Childhood Programs
- Learning Through Supervision and Mentorship

Save an additional 15% with promo code Reflect15

Another Great Resource for Your Library!

Reflective Supervision in Practice

ZERO TO THREE is your one-stop shop for reflective supervision tools and training. Find out more at www.zerotothree.org/reflectivesupervision
Given the multidisciplinary nature of our work with infants, toddlers, and families, we often come across words or acronyms that are new or unfamiliar to us. To enhance your reading experience of this issue of ZERO TO THREE, we offer a glossary of selected technical words or terms used by the contributing authors in this issue. Please note that these definitions specifically address how these terms are used by the authors in their articles and are not intended to be formal or authoritative definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jargon Buster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| **Birth Cohort Studies**<sup>1</sup> | Studies that begin at the birth of their subjects, or before, and continue to assess the same individuals at later ages, on more than one occasion. [Find it in Richter, page 10] |
| **Child-Directed Speech**<sup>2</sup> | Speech adjustments made by adults when interacting with children, characterized by higher pitch, more repetition, simplified vocabulary, and exaggerated intonation patterns. [Find it in Richter, page 10] |
| **Epigenetic Traits** | Biological traits that are activated by the environment. [Find it in Barker, Levtov, & Heilman, page 44] |
| **Kangaroo Care** | The practice of skin-to-skin contact between infants and their adult caregivers. [Find it in Silver & West, page 17] |
| **Nurturing Care** | Care that encompasses all elements critical to the integrity of young children’s development. [Find it in Richter, page 10] |
| **Stunting<sup>3</sup> and Wasting<sup>4</sup>** | *Stunting* refers to a height that is more than two standard deviations below the population norm for an individual’s age. *Wasting* refers to a loss of body weight in relation to height due to acute malnutrition. [Find it in Sullivan, Sakayan, & Cernak, page 31] |
| **Sustainable Development Goals** | 17 social and economic goals for global prosperity, set and defined by the United Nations. [Find it in Richter, page 10] |
| **Twin-Track Approach** | Ensuring that a transformed system serves, in an integrated way, the needs of a specific target population and the larger population of which they are a part. The Triple-twin-track approach addresses three twin-tracks: children with disabilities/typically developing children; children/families and communities; and infants-toddlers/children-youth. [Find it in Wertlieb, page 22] |

---
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The Editorial Mission of the ZERO TO THREE Journal

To provide a forum for thoughtful discussion of important research, practice, professional development, and policy issues in the multidisciplinary infant, toddler, and family field.

ZERO TO THREE works to ensure all babies and toddlers benefit from the family and community connections critical to their well-being and development. Since 1977, the organization has advanced the proven power of nurturing relationships by transforming the science of early childhood into helpful resources, practical tools and responsive policies for millions of parents, professionals and policymakers.

How to Subscribe

• Call (800) 899-4301 between 9am–5pm, Eastern time
• Visit www.zerotothree.org/journal to place your order online day or night
• Email customer service staff at bookstore@zerotothree.org

Explore ZERO TO THREE’s Career Center to see what’s in store for you next.

The fruits of your labor are many and everlasting in the lives of infants and toddlers. In our effort to support you as you help infants and toddlers reach their full potential, we’ve tailored our Career Center to address the needs of both job seekers and job posters, professionals in equally important roles.

Take advantage of the following features and more:

Job Seekers
Career coaching
Resume editing
Reference checking

Job Posters
Branding solutions
Bulk posting
Social recruiting

ZERO TO THREE’s Career Center reaches more than 55,000 professionals in the early childhood field and currently boasts more than 400 job postings across the nation.

ZERO TO THREE Career Center
www.zerotothree.org/careers